

List of Figures

Figures	Title	Page
1.1	(a) Schematic representation of the general overview protein aggregation pathway beginning from its synthesis to formation of amyloid inclusions or plaques in the cell. The red shaded area shows one of the effective targets to interfere with this process of protein aggregation. (b) Schematic representation of the protein aggregation process under <i>in vitro</i> conditions. Main objectives of the current research work are highlighted.	2
2.1	Conversion of soluble native protein into insoluble well organized amyloid structures linked to various diseases adapted from Chiti and Dobson 2009	7
2.2	Schematic representation of various pathologies linked to formation of amyloid fibrils	8
2.3	Schematic representation of the mechanism of protein aggregation under <i>in vitro</i> conditions.	8
2.5	<i>Strategies to target Protein aggregation</i>	9
3.1	Phenylalanine-aggregates in physiological conditions. a, Increase in ThioflavinT signal of 6mM phenylalanine sample in PBS at pH 7.4. b, A self-seeded aggregation reaction of phenylalanine.	16
3.2	Self-assembly of phenylalanine into higher order structures, as resolved by Native PAGE.	16
3.3	SEM images, representing regular fibrils of phenylalanine sample aggregated in water at 37°C. Scale bar, ~2 μm.	17
3.4	AFM images, representing spheroidal oligomers of phenylalanine sample aggregated in water at 37°C. The diameters range was from ~25nm-80nm.	17
3.5	AFM images, representing regular fibrils of phenylalanine sample aggregated in water at 37°C. The diameter range was between ~100nm-160nm	18
3.6	Thioflavin T signals revealing rapid aggregation and coaggregation of globular proteins driven by phenylalanine-fibrils as labeled.	20
3.7	Histogram showing Thioflavin T signals of insulin and BSA at 0 h and 24 h.	20
3.8	Native PAGE of protein samples confirming aggregation of globular proteins triggered by phenylalanine-fibrils	21
3.9	Structural characterization of higher order structures of proteins obtained from phenylalanine-driven aggregation. a) Circular dichroism spectra of proteins, showing a conformational switch towards β-sheet structure. b ATR-FTIR spectral signals of mature protein fibrils indicating the dominance of β-structured species, as labelled. b, SEM images of mature fibrils of different proteins. Scale bar, 2 μm.	22
3.10	SEM images representing different morphologies of BSA aggregates. Scale bar, ~2 μm	23
3.11	SEM images of mature fibrils of different proteins. Scale bar, 2 μm.	23
3.12	SEM images representing different morphologies of lysozyme aggregates. Scale bar, ~2 μm.	24
3.13	SEM images representing different morphologies of myoglobin aggregates. Scale bar, ~2 μm.	24
3.14	SEM images representing different morphologies of insulin aggregates. Scale bar, ~2 μm.	24
3.15	AFM images, representing spheroidal oligomers (within 150-200 nm) observed in phenylalanine induced aggregation of mixed protein monomers (in PBS at 37°C)	25
3.16	AFM images, representing microfibrils (150-200 nm) observed during phenylalanine induced aggregation of mixed protein monomers (in PBS at 37°C).	25
3.17	Turbidity data showing aggregation of a soluble mixture of amino acids (Tyr + Trp + Phe + Glu + Arg + Ala), driven by phenylalanine-fibrils	26
3.18	Optical images of fluorescent self-assembled nanostructures (stained with an amyloid specific dye Thioflavin T) generated from a phenylalanine-induced aggregation reaction of a soluble mixture of amino acids (in PBS at 37°C). Scale bar, 20 μm.	26
3.19	AFM image of higher order structures obtained for phenylalanine-induced aggregation of mixed amino-acids (in PBS, 37°C).	27
3.20	UV absorption spectra obtained for the hemolysis experiment after four hours of incubation at 37°C. Severe lysis was observed in the presence of water (+ve control) and phenylalanine fibrils whereas no lysis was detected in the presence of soluble phenylalanine and in PBS buffer (used as -ve control).	27

Figures	Title	Page
3.21	Optical microscopy images of normal RBCs in the presence of soluble phenylalanine and lysed RBCs in the presence of phenylalanine-fibrils.	28
3.22	Histograms showing the percentage hemolysis	29
3.23	Leishman stained images of RBC's, visualized by the light microscope (Leica DM 500 Switzerland), in the presence of: a) PBS (control RBC's) b) Phenylalanine aggregates c) BSA aggregates d) Insulin aggregates f) Lysozyme aggregates g) Cytochrome C aggregates h) Myoglobin aggregates l) aggregates of mixed protein monomers.	29
3.24	Dark field microscopy images showing time dependent live imaging of RBC's during lysis caused by phenylalanine fibrils	30
3.25	Phenylalanine fibrils and phenylalanine-induced protein fibrils trigger hemolysis. a, SEM images of RBCs in the presence of: (i) 1X PBS, (ii) soluble Phe (iii) Phe-fibrils (iv) BSA fibrils, (v) lysozyme fibrils (vi) insulin fibrils (vii) myoglobin fibrils (viii) cytochrome c fibrils (ix) Coaggregation generated fibrils. All protein fibrils are obtained from phenylalanine fibril-seeded reactions. Scale bar, 3 μ m. b, Selected SEM images of damaged RBCs revealing the occurrence of pathological deformability.	30
3.26	Leishman stained microscopic image showing adherence of lysed-RBC's to the surface of protein fibrils	31
3.27	SEM images showing adherence of lysed-RBC's to the surface of protein fibrils. Scale bar, ~2 μ m.	31
3.28	Analysis of lysed erythrocyte membrane proteins as labelled using silver-stained SDS-PAGE	32
3.29	Phenylalanine molecules before (a) and after (b) molecular dynamics studies	33
3.30	Molecular simulation study reveals self-assembly of phenylalanine residues. (a) Tubular assembled higher order entities. (b) The packing of water molecules within the inner core of the structure. (c) Space filling model of the structure revealing the presence of both acceptor and donor functional groups for hydrogen bond formation.	34
3.31	Possible interaction of phenylalanine molecules with each other.	34
3.32	Summary of Bovine Serum Albumin PDB: 4F5S-Phenylalanine interaction. The value of – CDOCKER energy was 27.0621 kcal mol ⁻¹ and the value of – CDOCKER interaction energy was found to be 28.9882 kcal mol ⁻¹ .	35
3.33	Summary of Insulin PDB 4I5Z-Phenylalanine interaction. The value of – CDOCKER energy was 14.3516 kcal mol ⁻¹ and the value of – CDOCKER interaction energy was found to be 14.3759 kcal mol ⁻¹ .	35
3.34	Summary of Lysozyme PDB 193L-Phenylalanine interaction. The value of – CDOCKER energy was 26.8447 kcal mol ⁻¹ and the value of – CDOCKER interaction energy was found to be 29.0785 kcal mol ⁻¹	36
3.35	Summary of Cytochrome C PDB: 1HRC-Phenylalanine interaction. The value of –CDOCKER energy was 26.4082 kcal mol ⁻¹ and the value of – CDOCKER interaction energy was found to be 28.6882 kcal mol ⁻¹ .	36
3.36	Summary of Myoglobin PDB: 1DWR-Phenylalanine interaction. The value of – CDOCKER energy was 22.615 kcal mol ⁻¹ and the value of – CDOCKER interaction energy was found to be 24.6064 kcal mol ⁻¹	37
3.37	Schematic outline of the summary the current investigation	38
4.1	Characteristic properties of capsaicin coated silver nanoparticles. (A) Schematic representation of capsaicin coated silver nanoparticles (AgNPs ^{Cap}). (B) UV-visible spectra of the capsaicin coated silver nanoparticle samples, AgNPs ^{Cap} (—) and control silver nanoparticles, AgNPs (—); (C) AFM topology image of AgNPs ^{Cap} nanoparticles. (D) Particles size distribution histograms of AgNPs ^{Cap} nanoparticles showing an average hydrodynamic radius of ~10-30nm. (E) TEM image of AgNPs ^{Cap} nanoparticles (F) TEM image of control AgNPs nanoparticles (G)FTIR data of the sample of AgNPs ^{Cap} nanoparticles which show the	40

Figures	Title	Page
4.2	Characterization of size and zeta potential silver nanoparticles. (A) Particles size distribution histograms of AgNPs nanoparticles showing an average hydrodynamic radius of ~60nm. (B) The surface zeta potential graph for control silver nanoparticles showing a negative potential of -49.7 mV (C) The surface zeta potential graph for capsaicin capped silver nanoparticles showing a negative potential of -42 mV.	41
4.3	Effect of capsaicin, AgNPs and AgNPs ^{Cap} on aggregation of BSA. ~5 M BSA sample at different molar ratios was used: (●) 5M BSA only; (●) 5μM BSA + 1M capsaicin; (▲) 5M BSA + 5M capsaicin; (▼) 5M BSA + 5M control AgNPs; (◆) 5μM BSA + 1M AgNPs ^{Cap} ; (◄) 5M BSA + 5M AgNPs ^{Cap} ; (▶) 5M BSA + 10M AgNPs ^{Cap} .	42
4.4	Effect of capsaicin and AgNPs ^{Cap} at high concentration on the aggregation process of BSA. Black line denotes the kinetic curve for the control BSA; Red curve indicates the aggregation of BSA+Capsaicin at 10μM. Blue curve indicates the aggregation of BSA+ AgNPs ^{Cap} at 10μM.	43
4.5	Structural properties of BSA amyloid fibers: (A) TEM image of negatively stained mature BSA amyloid fibrils. (B) AFM image of mature BSA(C) ATR-FTIR second derivative spectra of inhibited BSA amyloid fibers in presence of capsaicin coated silver nanoparticles. Molar ratio of protein: inhibitor was kept at 1:1. (D) Native gel-electrophoresis of the BSA (~5 M) sample under aggregating conditions at 6h time point. (1) Control soluble BSA; (2) BSA aggregates without inhibitors (~5 M); (3) BSA + capsaicin (~5 M); (4) BSA + capsaicin coated nanoparticles (~1 M) (5) BSA + capsaicin coated nanoparticles (~5M) (6) BSA + capsaicin coated nanoparticles (~10M).	43
4.6	Molecular docking studies of a capsaicin molecule with BSA: The BSA-capsaicin complex shows fourteen possible interactions comprising of one hydrogen bond two carbon bonds one pi-sigma pi-donor two alkyl and seven pi-alkyl bonds. Right panel of the figure lists all the interactions between capsaicin and BSA.	44
4.7	Sequence analysis of BSA using PASTA. (A) (A) Probability of beta structures in BSA sequence. (B) Disorder profile of amino acid residues of the BSA protein. The PDB ID of BSA considered for this study is 4F5S. The analysis of sequence was performed using the available online tool, PASTA 2.0	45
4.8	Prediction of aggregation prone regions by AGGRESCAN 3D online tool. AGGRESCAN 3D plot showing the aggregation propensity of the residues in the A-chain (panel A) and B-chain (panel B) of BSA protein (PDB ID 4F5S). Here, the X-axis represents amino acids and Y-axis represents their respective A3D scores. The residual score above 0 are considered as aggregation prone. The residual score below 0 are meant to be soluble residues	46
4.9	Snapshot of aggregation prone regions by AGGRESCAN 3D. The protein surface is colored according to A3D score in gradient from red (high-predicted aggregation propensity) to white (negligible impact on protein aggregation) to blue (high-predicted solubility).	46
4.10	Schematic representation of BSA amyloid inhibition by Capsaicin coated silver nanoparticles.	47
5.1	Thermal Characterization of piperine coated gold nanoparticles (AuNPs ^{piperine}) (a) Schematic representation of the surface functionalized gold nanoparticles. (b) TEM images displaying the evenly sized (~10nm) spherical AuNPs ^{piperine} (c) HR TEM data showing a fringe spacing of 2.1 and 2.3Å. Inset shows splitting of spots in the FFT image. (d) The selected area electron diffraction pattern (SAED) of AuNPs ^{piperine} . Scherrer ring patterns indicate the FCC gold is nanocrystalline in nature (e) UV-Vis spectrum of AuNPs ^{piperine} with absorption maxima around 530 nm due to surface plasma resonance. (f) Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) peak of AuNPs ^{piperine} showing an average diameter of ~10 to 20 nm. (g) FTIR Spectra of (—) piperine and (—) AuNPs ^{piperine} nanoparticles.	51
5.2	The surface zeta potential graph for piperine coated gold nanoparticles (AuNPs ^{piperine}) showing a negative potential of -4.46 mV.	51
5.3	Stability of AuNPs ^{piperine} UV-Vis spectrum of AuNPs ^{piperine} with an absorption maximum around 530 nm due to surface plasma resonance. Red curve is AuNPs ^{piperine} sample after 28 days; black curve is only piperine after 28 days. The solvent for both the samples was 1X PBS buffer at pH 7.4.	52

Figures	Title	Page
5.4	Characterization of control gold nanoparticles AuNPs without piperine. (a)TEM images displaying the evenly sized spherical AuNPs ranging from ~ 10-40nm (b) HR TEM reveal a fringe spacing of 2.1 Å and 2.3Å splitting of spots in the FFT image of gold nanoparticle. Inset shows a selected area electron diffraction pattern (SEAD) of AuNPs samples. (c) The Scherrer ring patterns indicate the nanocrystalline nature of FCC gold. (d) UV-Vis spectrum of AuNPs with an absorption maximum of ~535 nm. (f) Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) data indicate the average diameter of ~10 to 40 nm for AuNPs.	53
5.5	Inhibition of amyloid fibril formation of insulin in the presence of AuNPs ^{piperine} (a) Thioflavin T assay data showing aggregation curves for 43 μM of insulin sample in PBS at pH 7: (■) insulin only; (●) insulin + piperine (1:1); (▲) insulin + piperine (1:2); (▼) insulin + piperine (1:3); (◆) insulin + AuNPs ^{piperine} (1:1); (▶) insulin + AuNPs ^{piperine} (1:2); (◇) insulin + AuNPs ^{piperine} (1:3). (d) Fluorescence images showing the presence of ThT stained fibrils, as labeled. (c) Inhibition of seed-induced aggregation of 43 μM insulin in the presence of AuNPs ^{piperine} at 1:3 molar ration of protein: inhibitor. ~15% w/w preformed fibrils were used as seed. (e) Native PAGE of insulin samples in the presence and in the absence of AuNPs ^{piperine} during aggregation: (1) soluble insulin at 0h; (2) aggregating insulin sample at 3h, (3) aggregating [insulin + piperine] sample at 3h (4) aggregating [insulin+ AuNPs ^{piperine}] sample at 3h (5) aggregating [insulin+ control AuNPs] sample at 3h. Molar ratio of protein to inhibitor was maintained at 1:3 for all Native PAGE experiments	54
5.6	Inhibition of amyloid fibril formation of serum albumin in the presence of AuNPs ^{piperine} . Thioflavin T assay data showing aggregation curves for ~5 μM of BSA sample in PBS at pH 7: (■) BSA only; (▲) BSA + piperine (1:30); (●) insulin + AuNPs ^{piperine} (1:30)	55
5.7	Interaction of piperine coated gold nanoparticles with insulin monomers. (a) Fluorescence emission spectra of 9 μM insulin sample with increasing concentration of AuNPs ^{piperine} . Excitation wavelength was 276 nm. Concentrations of AuNPs ^{piperine} were: (—) 0 μM; (—) 0.5 μM; (—) 1 μM; (—) 1.2 μM; (—) 2.0 μM; (—) 3.0 μM; (—) 4.0 μM; (—) 6.0 μM. (b) Stern-Volmer plot of insulin and AuNPs ^{piperine} . (c) The plot of log ((F ₀ -F)/F) versus log [Q] for insulin binding with AuNPs ^{piperine} . All the fluorescence measurements were carried out at room temperature in PBS buffer at pH 7.	56
5.8	Docked complex of insulin (PDB ID: 4I5Z) with piperine represents five interactions: four H-bonds (GLU21:OE1-piperine:H28, VAL18: O-piperine:H39, ARG22:O3-piperine H21, ARG22:O3-piperine: HE) and one pi-alkyl interaction (ARG:22-piperine	56
5.9	AGGRESCAN-3D analysis of INSULIN (PDB:4I5Z) structure obtained from AGGRESCAN online tool. The protein surface is colored according to A3D score in gradient from red (high-predicted aggregation propensity) to white (negligible impact on protein aggregation) to blue (high-predicted solubility). Arrow indicates the point of binding site of piperine as revealed by the docking studies (Figure 3b of the main text)	57
5.10	Effect of piperine coated gold nanoparticles on <i>in vitro</i> fibril formation process of type I collagen. (a) inhibition of rat tail tendon (RTT) collagen: (—) Collagen; (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{piperine} (1:30). (b) inhibition of bovine calf-skin collagen: (—) collagen; (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{piperine} (1:30). (c) Effect of isolated piperine and control uncoated gold nanoparticles at similar concentrations on fibril formation of RTT collagen, as labeled. (d) Scanning Electron microscopic image of mature fibrils of RTT collagen formed in the presence of AuNPs ^{piperine} . (e) AFM images of mature fibrils of RTT collagen formed in the presence of AuNPs ^{piperine} .	58
5.11	Biological compatibility of piperine coated gold nanoparticles. (a) UV-visible spectra obtained from hemolysis assay: (—) RBCs in PBS buffer (positive control); (—) RBCs + 160 μM piperine; (—) RBCs + 160 μM AuNPs ^{piperine} ;(—) RBCs + 160μM AuNPs; (—) RBCs in water (negative control). (b) SEM images of intact RBC sample in the presence of AuNPs ^{piperine} (c) Biological activity of lysozyme in the presence of AuNPs ^{piperine} as labelled. Activity assay was conducted at room temperature in PBS buffer at pH 6.5	59
5.12	Schematic representation of insulin-AuNPs ^{piperine} interaction	60
6.1	Characterization of Phenylalanine coated gold nanoparticles (AuNPs ^{PHE}) (a)TEM (b) HRTEM images displaying the evenly sized (~20nm) spherical AuNPs ^{PHE} (b) Selected area electron diffraction pattern (SAED) of AuNPs ^{PHE} . The Scherrer ring patterns indicate the FCC gold of	63

Figures	Title	Page
	nanocrystalline nature. (d) UV-Vis spectrum of AuNPs ^{PHE} (e) FTIR Spectra of AuNPs ^{PHE} (f) Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) peak of AuNPs ^{PHE} (g) Zeta potential peak of AuNPs ^{PHE}	
6.2	Characterization Proline coated gold nanoparticles (AuNPs ^{PRO}) (a)TEM and HRTEM images displaying the evenly sized (~20nm) spherical AuNPs ^{PRO} (b) The selected area electron diffraction pattern (SAED) of AuNPs ^{PRO} . The Scherrer rings indicate the FCC gold which is nanocrystalline in nature. (d) UV-Vis spectrum of AuNPs ^{PRO} (e) FTIR Spectra of AuNPs ^{PRO} (f) Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) peak of AuNPs ^{PRO} (g) Zeta potential peak of AuNPs ^{PRO} .	64
6.3	Characterization glycine coated gold nanoparticles (AuNPs ^{GLY}) (a)TEM displaying the evenly sized (~20nm) spherical AuNPs ^{GLY} (b)HRTEM images of the AuNPs ^{GLY} (c)UV-Vis spectrum of AuNPs ^{GLY} (d) Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) peak of AuNPs ^{GLY} (e)Zeta potential peak of AuNPs ^{GLY}	64
6.4	Characterization Tryptophan coated gold nanoparticles (AuNPs ^{TRYP}) (a)TEM and HRTEM images displaying the evenly sized (~20nm) spherical AuNPs ^{TRP} (b) The selected area electron diffraction pattern (SAED) of AuNPs ^{TRP} . The Scherrer rings indicate the FCC gold which is nanocrystalline in nature. (d) UV-Vis spectrum of AuNPs ^{TRP} (e) FTIR Spectra of AuNPs ^{TRP} (f) Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) peak of AuNPs ^{TRP} (g) Zeta potential peak of AuNPs ^{TRP} .	64
6.5	Biophysical characterization of hydroxyproline coated gold nanoparticles (AuNPs ^{HYP}). (a) Schematic representation of the surface functionalized gold nanoparticles. (b) TEM images displaying the evenly sized (~30nm) spherical AuNPs ^{HYP} (c) HR TEM data showing a fringe spacing of 2.01 Å and 2.4 Å. Inset shows splitting of spots in the FFT image. (d) A selected area electron diffraction pattern (SAED) of AuNPs ^{HYP} . The Scherrer ring patterns indicate the nanocrystalline nature of FCC gold. (e) UV-Vis spectrum of AuNPs ^{HYP} with an absorption maximum around ~530 nm due to surface plasma resonance. (f) SEM (scale bar 3 μM), optical and dark field microscopy images of intact RBC sample in the presence of AuNPs ^{HYP} . (g) Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) data of AuNPs ^{HYP} , showing an average diameter of ~20- 30 nm. (h) Zeta potential measurements of AuNPs ^{HYP} sample.	65
6.6	Inhibition effect of AuNPs ^{HYP} on fibril formation process of type I collagen: (a) Turbidity data showing fibril formation of calf skin collagen (0.3 mg.ml ⁻¹) in the presence and in the absence of inhibitors under physiological temperature 37°C and in PBS buffer. Molar ratio of collagen: inhibitor is given in the parentheses: (—) control collagen; (—) collagen + Hyp (at 1:100); (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{HYP} (at 1:25); (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{HYP} (at 1:50); (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{HYP} (at 1:100); (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{GLY} (at 1:100); (b) CD profiles collagen after fibril formation. (—) control collagen; (—) collagen + free Hyp (1:100 molar ratio); (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{HYP} (1:100 molar ratio). All CD spectra shown here were baseline subtracted. (c) TEM images showing the fibril morphology of collagen fibrils in the presence and in the absence of inhibitor. Scale bar 100nm. (d) Inhibition of fibril formation of type I collagen from rat tail tendon: (—) control collagen; (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{HYP} (at 1:100); (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{GLY} (at 1:100). All turbidity curves shown in this figure are the average representative of three acquisitions	67
6.7	Effect of proline, tryptophan and glycine coated nanoparticles on fibril formation process of type I collagen. Turbidity data showing fibril formation of calf skin collagen in the presence and in the absence of inhibitors under physiological temperature 37°C and in PBS at pH 7: (—) control collagen; (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{TRP} at 1:100 molar ratio; (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{PRO} at 1:100 molar ratio; (—) collagen + AuNPs ^{GLY} at 1:100 molar ratio. (b) Effect of free uncoated amino acids on collagen fibril formation; (—) control collagen; (—) collagen+ free Trp at 1:100 molar ratio; (—) collagen+ free Pro 1:100 molar ratio. All turbidity curves shown in this figure are the average representative of three acquisitions.	68
6.8	Atomic Force microscopic image of mature fibrils. AFM images of the collagen fibrils obtained from both inhibited (A) and control (B) reactions	68
6.9	Analysis of collagen sequence for GPO stretches. (a) A proportionate graphical representation of α1 collagen (type I) sequence displaying the frequent occurrence of GPO sequences (red) throughout the triple helical region of the peptide. The green area shows the telopeptide binding region within the collagen sequence. (b). Sequence of α1 chain of type I collagen.	69
6.10	Interaction of hydroxyproline with the triple-helical collagen model peptides. (a) Docking	70

	studies of the collagen model peptide (POGPOGPOGPOGPOAOGPOGPOGPOGPOG) (PDB-ID-1CAG) showing three viable H-bonding interactions as labelled. Binding affinity for this interaction was -3.1 kcal.mol ⁻¹ . (b) Molecular docking studies of the collagen model peptide (PDB ID 1Q7D) (XGPOGPOGFOGERGPOGPOGPOX) showing four strong hydrogen bond interactions as labelled. Binding affinity for this interaction was -3.2 kcal.mol ⁻¹ . (c) Sequence of the peptides.	
6.11	Summary of the modified Hyp AU –Collagen(1CAG) interaction. Interaction of the modified Hyp (in which a gold atom is attached to the –NH moiety) with collagen model peptide 1CAG.	71
6.12	Circular Dichroism signal of the collagen model peptide (Pro-Hyp-Gly) ₁₀ in PBS buffer at pH 7 (concentration = 1 mg.ml ⁻¹). The data confirmed the formation of triple-helical molecules of the peptidePOG ₁₀ . This sample was used for binding studies with AuNPs ^{HYP} nanoparticles.	71
6.13	Interaction of triple-helical collagen peptide with AuNPsHYP by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC). (a) Base line subtracted raw data from ITC for titration of triple helical collagen model peptide (Pro-Hyp-Gly) ₁₀ (10 μ M) with ligand AuNPs ^{HYP} (50 μM) at 298 K, showing the calorimetric response peaks in μcal.sec ⁻¹ during successive injections of AuNPs ^{HYP} to the peptide sample in the cell. (b) Integrated heat profile of data generated from ITC data. The solid line represents the minimized independent fitting by a model of one site per monomer (using Nano Analyze 2.1 software from Thermal Analysis). Inset of panel b displays the binding parameters obtained for AuNPs-peptide interaction.	72
6.14	Schematic representation of targeting collagen fibril formation through gold nanoparticles surface- functionalized with hydroxyproline.	73
7.1	Schematic representation of the thesis overview	78

...

