## List of Figures

| Figures | Title   | page |
|---------|---|------|
| 1.1     | Mortality due to CVDs, Worldwide  | 1    |
| 1.2     | Anatomy of the human heart  | 3    |
| 1.3     | Echocardiography  | 5    |
| 1.4     | Single cardiac cycle represented by ECG   | 5    |
| 1.5     | Single cardiac cycle represented by PCG   | 6    |
| 1.6     | Single cardiac cycle represented by PPG   | 7    |
| 1.7     | Single cardiac cycle represented by ICG   | 7    |
| 1.8     | Single cardiac cycle represented by SCG   | 8    |
| 2.1     | Heart monitoring system   | 13   |
| 2.2     | Block diagram of Off-site heart monitoring system   | 14   |
| 3.1     | Schematic diagram of the stethoscope  | 31   |
| 3.2     | Schematic diagram of the MEMS accelerometer   | 33   |
| 3.3     | Heart sound signal acquisition using PCG and SCG systems                                  | 33   |
| 3.4     | System setup for signal acquisition using (a) PCG, (b) SCG, and (c) ECG                   | 34   |
| 3.5     | Time domain representation of signals: (a) ECG, (b) PCG, (c) SCG, (d) Ensemble            |      |
|         | averaged PCG, and (e) Ensemble averaged SCG   | 35   |
| 3.6     | Power spectrum of signals: (a) PCG signal and (b) SCG signal                              | 36   |
| 3.7     | (a) PCG signal, (b) Wavelet scalogram of PCG signal, (c) SCG signal, and (d) Wavelet      |      |
|         | scalogram of SCG signal   | 38   |
| 3.8     | Colour coding for the scalogram.  | 38   |
| 3.9     | Signals for 'Clinical' scenario: (a) ECG signal, (b) PCG signal, (c) Filtered PCG signal, |      |
|         | (d) Averaged filtered PCG signal, (e) Detected points in PCG signal, (f) SCG signal,      |      |
|         | (g) Filtered SCG signal, (n) Averaged filtered SCG signal, and (i) Detected points in     | 20   |
| 2.40    | SUG SIGNAI  | 39   |
| 3.10    | signal (d) Averaged filtered PCC signal (e) Detected points in PCC signal (f) SCC         |      |
|         | signal (d) Filtered SCC signal (h) Averaged filtered SCC signal and (i) Detected          |      |
|         | noints in SCC signal  | 40   |
| 3,11    | Signals for 'walking' scenario: (a) ECG signal. (b) PCG signal. (c) Filtered PCG sig-     | 10   |
|         | nal. (d) Averaged filtered PCG signal. (e) Detected points in PCG signal. (f) SCG         |      |
|         | signal, (g) Filtered SCG signal, (h) Averaged filtered SCG signal, and (i) Detected       |      |
|         | points in SCG signal  | 41   |
| 3.12    | Signals for 'motion of patient' scenario: (a) ECG signal, (b) PCG signal, (c) Filtered    |      |
| 2       | PCG signal, (d) Averaged filtered PCG signal, (e) Detected points in PCG signal, (f)      |      |
|         | SCG signal, (g) Filtered SCG signal, (h) Averaged filtered SCG signal, and (i) De-        |      |
|         | tected points in SCG signal   | 42   |
| 3.13    | Signals for 'travelling' scenario: (a) ECG signal, (b) PCG signal, (c) Filtered PCG       |      |
|         | signal, (d) Averaged filtered PCG signal, (e) Detected points in PCG signal, (f) SCG      |      |
|         | signal, (g) Filtered SCG signal, (h) Averaged filtered SCG signal, and (i) Detected       |      |
|         | points in SCG signal  | 43   |

| 3.14 | Signals for 'in market' scenario: (a) ECG signal, (b) PCG signal, (c) Filtered PCG<br>signal, (d) Averaged filtered PCG signal, (e) Detected points in PCG signal, (f) SCG<br>signal, (g) Filtered SCG signal, (h) Averaged filtered SCG signal, and (i) Detected |         |
|------|---|---------|
| 3.15 | points in SCG signal<br>'Clinical scenario' signals: (a) ECG signal, (b) Filtered PCG signal, (c) S1 and S2 in  | 44      |
|      | PCG signal, (d) Rest of the cycle in PCG signal, (e) Filtered SCG signal, (f) S1 and S2   |         |
|      | in SCG signal, and (g) Rest of the cycle in SCG signal  | 45      |
| 4.1  | Block diagram of the DWT based denoising algorithm  | 52      |
| 4.2  | Reconstructed signal at various detailed levels and approximation level   | 53      |
| 4.3  | Statistical parameters for the signal with added white Gaussian noise: (a) $med_{75}$   | <i></i> |
|      | at two different SNR values and (b) $med_{75}$ and median values at various SNR values  | 54      |
| 4.4  | Statistical parameter analysis for the wavelet coefficients (detailed level-4) in   |         |
|      | Highly poisy  | 55      |
| 15   | Statistical parameters (mean $Med_{25}$ and variance) of the wavelet coefficients   | 00      |
| 4.)  | (detailed level-4) of signal contaminated with white Gaussian noise at various levels   | 55      |
| 4.6  | Output response of the soft, hard and mid threshold functions.  | 56      |
| 4.7  | Peak detection and identification: (a) PCG signal, and (c) envelope of the signal   |         |
| .,   | with identified components  | 58      |
| 4.8  | Denoising results for soft, hard, mid, and non-linear mid threshold functions with  |         |
|      | various thresholds estimated by different methods: (a) 'sqtwolog', (b) 'rigrsure',  |         |
|      | (c) 'heursure', (d) 'minimaxi', (e) and (f) proposed threshold estimation method  | 60      |
| 4.9  | Results for PCG signals of different subjects with AWGN: (a) Detection rate of S1,  |         |
|      | (b) Detection rate of S2, and (c) Number of false points  | 61      |
| 4.10 | Obtained denoising results for the PCG signal contaminated with pink and red  |         |
|      | noise: (a) Clean signal, (b) Noisy signal, (c) DWT-A, (d) DWT-B, and (e) Proposed method  | 62      |
| 4.11 | SNR and fit values for the denoised PCG signal contaminated with pink and red noise   | 62      |
| 4.12 | Detection rate of S1 and S2 and number of false points in the denoised PCG signal   | 60      |
|      | contaminated with pink and red noise.   | 62      |
| 4.13 | methods: (b) DWT A (c) DWT B and (d) Proposed method  | 63      |
| 1 11 | Denoising results for the recorded PCC signal in 'walking' scenario using various   | 05      |
| 4.14 | methods: (b) DWT-A. (c) DWT-B. and (d) Proposed method  | 64      |
| 4.15 | Results of various methods to remove murmurs from the PCG signal: (a) murmur  |         |
|      | signal, (b) DWT- A method, (c) DWT-B method, and (d) Proposed method  | 64      |
| 4.16 | Signals for speaking scenario: (a) Acquired z-axis signal, (b) Filtered z-axis signal,  |         |
|      | (c) Acquired x-axis signal, and (d) Filtered x-axis signal  | 66      |
| 4.17 | Signals for motion scenario: (a) Acquired z-axis signal, (b) Filtered z-axis signal,  |         |
|      | (c) Acquired x-axis signal, and (d) Filtered x-axis signal  | 67      |
| 4.18 | Signals for walking scenario: (a) Acquired z-axis signal, (b) Filtered z-axis signal,   |         |
|      | (c) Acquired x-axis signal, and (d) Filtered x-axis signal  | 67      |
| 4.19 | Block diagram of the proposed method  | 68      |
| 4.20 | Noise components in z-axis due to footsteps: (a) Noise component, (b) Noise   |         |
|      | component after the heart sound component, and (c) Noise component before   |         |
|      | the heart sound component   | 69      |
| 4.21 | Obtained results for 'in motion' scenario: (a) Acquired z-axis signal, (b) Acquired   |         |
|      | x-axis signal, and (c-e) identified peaks in the filtered z-axis signal using DWT (c),  | 70      |
| רר ⊿ | Obtained results for 'walking' scenario: (a) Acquired z-axis signal (b) Acquired  | 10      |
| 7.22 | x-axis signal, and (c-e) Identified neaks in the filtered z-axis signal using DWT (c)   |         |
|      | polynomial smoothing filtering (d), and (e) the proposed method   | 70      |
|      |   |         |

| 5.1  | Decomposition of the signal using TQWT  | 76 |
|------|---|----|
| 5.2  | Characteristics of the filters used in TQWT decomposition                               | 77 |
| 5.3  | Block diagram of the proposed method  | 77 |
| 5.4  | Analysis of quality indices in two different cases of pathological signals at two       |    |
|      | different levels, decomposed using TQWT   | 80 |
| 5.5  | Analysis of denominator and numerator terms of Fano factor and kurtosis for             |    |
|      | signal with various SNR, due to AWGN  | 80 |
| 5.6  | PCG signal of a normal case   | 82 |
| 5.7  | Obtained results using the proposed method with different quality indices for           |    |
|      | the PCG signal contaminated with various types of noise: (a) noisy signal, (b) Re-      |    |
|      | sults using kurtosis, and (c) Results using Fano factor. The Roman numbers (i) and      |    |
|      | (ii) represent reconstructed signal at a selected basis level and its envelope using    |    |
|      | NASE, respectively  | 83 |
| 5.8  | Obtained segmentation rate (%) using the proposed method with kurtosis and              |    |
|      | Fano factor, for the signal contaminated with various types of noise at various levels. | 83 |
| 5.9  | Obtained results for the PCG signal contaminated with various types of noise:           |    |
|      | (a) noisy signal, (b) Results using WT method, (c) Results using C-TQWT method,         |    |
|      | and (d) Results using proposed method. The Roman numbers (i) and (ii) represent         |    |
|      | reconstructed signal at selected basis level and its envelope using NASE, respectively  | 84 |
| 5.10 | Obtained segmentation rate (%) using various methods for the signal contami-            |    |
|      | nated with various types of noise at various levels                                     | 84 |
| 5.11 | Results obtained for the PCG signal with murmur sounds: (a) PCG signal with             |    |
|      | murmur, (b) Results using WT method, (c) Results using C-TQWT method, and (d)           |    |
|      | results using proposed method. The Roman numbers (i) and (ii) represent recon-          |    |
|      | structed signal at selected basis level and its envelope using NASE, respectively       | 85 |
| 6.1  | Measurement of E-M window   | 90 |
| 6.2  | The proposed system setup   | 91 |
| 6.3  | System setup for signal acquisition using (a) PCG, (b) SCG and (c) ECG                  | 91 |
| 6.4  | The acquired signals (a) ECG, (b) SCG, and (c) PCG                                      | 92 |
| 6.5  | The graphical user interface for the estimation of E-M window                           | 93 |
| 6.6  | Bland Altman plot between the SCG and the PCG: (a) to measure QS2-QT duration           |    |
|      | and (b)to measure QT/QS2 ratio  | 94 |