## Annexure B

## B. 1 PROOF OF LEMMA 5.1.1

Let's find the $\rho$ for which $\left.R\right|_{\gamma_{2}=0}=\left.R\right|_{\gamma_{2}=1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log _{2}\left\{1+\frac{(N-1) \beta_{1}}{\rho}\right\}=\log _{2}\left\{\left(1+\frac{(N-1) \beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}+\rho}\right)\left(1+\frac{(N-1) \beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}+\rho}\right)\right\} \\
& \Rightarrow 1+\frac{(N-1) \beta_{1}}{\rho}=\left(1+\frac{(N-1) \beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}+\rho}\right)\left(1+\frac{(N-1) \beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}+\rho}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \rho^{2}+\left\{(N-2) \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right\} \rho-\beta_{1}^{2}=0 . \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The positive root of above equation $(\rho>0)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{c}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\left\{(N-2) \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right\}^{2}+4 \beta_{1}^{2}}-(N-2) \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right] . \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\rho_{c}$ is the critical value of $\rho$ where sum-rate is same whether weak user is transmitting full power or not transmitting at all.

## B. 2 PROOF OF LEMMA 5.1.2

By setting $\frac{d}{d \gamma_{2}}(R)=0$,

$$
\beta_{2}^{2} \gamma_{2}^{2}+2 \rho_{c} \beta_{2} \gamma_{2}+(N-2) \rho_{c} \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}^{2}+\rho_{c}^{2}=0
$$

Solving the above equation for positive $\gamma_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{c}=\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}\left\{-\rho_{c}+\sqrt{\beta_{1}^{2}-(N-2) \rho_{c} \beta_{1}}\right\} . \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $\gamma_{c}$ is unique, thus sum-rate has a maxima or minima at $\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{c}$. Numerical results show that for two users it is minima but for multi-user case, there exists a global maxima for $0<\gamma_{k}<1$; $1 \leq k \leq K$ for certain range of $\rho$.

## B. 3 PATH LOSS MODEL USED IN AVERAGE POWER ALLOCATION SCHEME FOR MASSIVE MIMO

Path loss is modeled as follows[Erceg et al., 1999, eq:1-6]:

$$
\begin{align*}
P L & =A+10 B \log _{10}\left(\frac{d}{d_{o}}\right)+C ; d \geq d_{o}  \tag{B.4}\\
A & \triangleq 20 \log _{10}\left(4 \pi d_{o} / \lambda\right) \\
B & \triangleq a-b h_{B S}+\frac{c}{h_{B S}}+x_{B} \sigma_{B} ; 10 m \leq h_{B S} \leq 80 m \\
C & \triangleq x_{C} \sigma_{C} \text { and } \sigma_{C}=\mu_{\sigma}+y_{C} \sigma_{\sigma}
\end{align*}
$$

Path loss equation can be written in terms of fixed and varying components as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P L(d)=\left\{20 \log _{10}\left(4 \pi d_{o} / \lambda\right)+10\left(a-b h_{B S}+\frac{c}{h_{B S}}\right) \log _{10}\left(\frac{d}{d_{o}}\right)\right\} \\
& +\left\{x_{B} \sigma_{B} \log _{10}\left(\frac{d}{d_{o}}\right)+x_{C}\left(\mu_{\sigma}+y_{C} \sigma_{\sigma}\right)\right\}, \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{B}, x_{C}$ and $y_{C}$ are distributed as $\mathbb{N}(0,1)$. Values of parameters are selected for flat macro cell with light tree density [Erceg et al., 1999, Table(1)].

Table B.1. : Numerical values of parameters for path loss modeling (Source: [Erceg et al., 1999]).

| Model <br> parameter | Scenario:A <br> Hilly/Moderate <br> to-Heavy <br> tree density | Scenario:B <br> Hilly/Light or <br> Flat/Moderate-to <br> Heavy Tree density | Scenario:C <br> Flat/Light <br> Tree density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | 4.6 | 4 | 3.6 |
| $b$ | 0.0075 | 0.0065 | 0.0050 |
| $\left(\right.$ in $\left.m^{-1}\right)$ | 12.6 | 17.1 | 20.0 |
| $c$ |  |  |  |
| $($ in $m)$ | 0.57 | 0.75 | 0.59 |
| $\sigma_{B}$ | 10.6 | 9.6 | 8.2 |
| $\mu_{\sigma}$ | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| $\sigma_{\sigma}$ |  |  |  |

