List of Figures

Figures *Title* *page*

Figure 2-1 : Sankey Diagram of the water resource in India [*Source*: FAO, 2017] 5
Figure 2-2 : India's development scenario projected till 2050 [*Source:* Amarasinghe *et al*., 2007] 6
Figure 2-3: India's groundwater use predicted till 2050 [*Source*: Amarasinghe *et al*., 2007] 6
Figure 2-4 : Life cycle of water in a fully sourced Delhi household [*Source:* Water Aid, 2017] 7
Figure 2-5 : Coverage of piped and non-piped water [*Source* : Water Aid, 2017] 8
Figure 2-6 : Chemical contaminants present in water, India [*Source*: Kakoty, 2013] 8
Figure 2-7 : Plot showing rural populations in India affected by different inorganic contaminants [*Source*: LokSabha, 2017] 9
Figure 2-8 : Filtration through the pores [*Source:* Yakub, 2012] 10
Figure 2-9 : Reverse Osmosis and Various filtration mechanisms [*Source* : Yakub,2012] 11
Figure 2-10 : Flow through a porous media with thickness t [*Source* : Yakub,2012] 11
Figure 2-11 : 3 kolshi filter [*Source* : Ahmed, 2001]. 13
Figure 2-12 : Biosand Filter [*Source* : Baig *et al*., 2011] 14
Figure 2-13 : Kanchan MIT Filter set up [Ngai *et al*., 2006] 15
Figure 2-14 : Arsenic particles effectively adsorbed on the rusted iron nails surface [*Source* : Ngai, 2002] 15
Figure 2-15 : Ceramic water filter system [*Source* : Gupta *et al*., 2018] 16
Figure 2-16 : Diagrammatic representation of adsorption phenomena [*Source:* Gaspard, 1982] 16
Figure 2-17 : Physisorption phenomena [*Source:* Gaspard, 1982] 17
Figure 2-18 : Chemisorption phenomena [*Source:* Gaspard, 1982] 17
Figure 3-1 : X-ray fluorescence phenomena [*Source:* Pesce *et al*., 1971] 21
Figure 3-2 : X-ray fluorescence instrument AIRF, JNU Delhi 22
Figure 3-3 : Line diagram of Energy dispersive XRF spectrometer [*Source:* Yeung *et al*., 2003]. 22
Figure 3-4 : Constructive interference and diffracted rays [*Source:* Cullity, 2001] 23
Figure 3-5 : Bruker's X-ray Diffraction D8-Discover instrument at IIT Jodhpur 24
Figure 3-6 : Line diagram of X-ray diffraction instrument working [*Source:* Cullity, 2001] 25
Figure 3-7 : Line diagram showcasing FTIR working principle [*Source:* Smith, 2011] 26
Figure 3-8 : Bruker FTIR spectrometer vertex 70v, IIT Jodhpur 26
Figure 3-9 : Line Diagram of MIP instrument [*Source:* Abell *et al*., 1999] 27
Figure 3-10: Line diagram of Micro-tomography working [*Source:* Agrawal *et al*., 2015] 28
Figure 3-11 : MicroCT instrument at BL-4 RRCAT Indore 29
Figure 3-12 : Line diagram of SEM instrument [*Source:* Goldstein *et al*., 2003] 31
Figure 3-13 : Horizontal placement of ceramic sample over three points [*Source:* Plappally *et al*., 2011] 32
Figure 3-14 : Representation of clay ceramic sample under compressive force [Source: Plappally *et al*., 2011] 33
Figure 3-15 : Line diagram of working of Profilometer [*Source:* Rosenfeld and Zanoni, 1975] 34
Figure 3-16 : Line diagram of AAS instrument working [*Source:* Van Loon, 2012] 35
Figure 3-17 : AAS 500 spectrophotometer at MRC, MNIT Jaipur 36
Figure 4-1 : Manufacturing of clay ceramics with distinct organic fraction 39
Figure 4-2 : Weight variation before and after sintering 39
Figure 4-3 : The schematics of the assumed volumes of a frustum, cylinder and square base prism 41
Figure 4-4 : Chemical composition of the Porous Clay Ceramics 43
Figure 4-5 : Loading plot showing the Influence of chemicals on the micro-structural properties of clay ceramics [Minitab 16] 44
Figure 4-6 : The score plot of the principal components of the correlated matrix of elemental compositions of: Clay (1), 35O (2), 45O (3), 50O (4), 55O (5) and 65O (6), respectively [Minitab 16] 45
Figure 4-7 : X-ray diffraction pattern of fired ceramics prepared from different raw material compositional ratios (A) 65 O, (B) 55O, (C) 50O, (D) 45O, and (E) 35O [Origin Pro 16, IIT Jodhpur License] 45
Figure 4-8 : Surface Morphology of distinct Porous clay ceramics at 5000X: A) 35O, B) 45O, C) 50 O, D) 55O, E) 65 O 46
Figure 4-9 : Porosity variation with different ceramic composition 46
Figure 4-10 : Pore-size distribution for ceramics with distinct organic filler (O) content 47
Figure 4-11 : Pore orientation in porous ceramics with distinct organic filler content 47
Figure 4-12 : Surface roughness variation with average porosity 48

Figure 4-13 : Compressive strength as a function of surface roughness 49
Figure 4-14 : Fracture toughness as a function of the surface roughness. 49
Figure 4-15 : Fracture toughness as a function of the percentage of organic fraction (sawdust) 50
Figure 4-16 : Filtrate production in different 10 litres capacity clay ceramic filter dried at 850°C 51
Figure 5-1 : Manufacturing of Ferrous mill mixed clay Ceramics 55
Figure 5-2 : XRD pattern of ferrous mill waste and ferrous mixed porous ceramic adsorbent 58
Figure 5-3 : Effect of contact time on the sorption capacity of As (V) ions onto FCC and CC ceramics 58
Figure 5-4 : Pseudo second-order plot of FCC and CC ceramics 59
Figure 5-5 : Comparison between surface morphology of ceramics before arsenic treatment a) CC & b) FCC and after treatment, c) CC and d) FCC at 500x 60
Figure 5-6 : FTIR spectrum of ferrous ceramic before and after As (V) adsorption 61
Figure 5-7 : Effect of pH on arsenic removal efficiency 62
Figure 5-8 : Effect of adsorbate concentration on arsenic adsorption of FCC and CC ceramics 63
Figure 5-9 : Freundlich Isotherm plot of FCC and CC 64
Figure 5-10 : Langmuir isotherm for FCC and CC ceramics 65
Figure 5-11 : Comparison of a) compressive and b) flexural strength behaviour of ceramics with and without addition of ferrous waste 66
Figure 6-1 : Manufacturing of Clay Ceramics with marble as an additive 69
Figure 6-2 : XRD patterns of a) waste marble powder and b) sintered MCC ceramics. 71
Figure 6-3 : Effect of contact time on arsenic removal efficiency of MCC ceramics 72
Figure 6-4 : Pseudo second order expression for MCC ceramics 73
Figure 6-5 : Surface morphology of MCC ceramics a) before and b) after contact time of 90 mins (20,000X) 74
Figure 6-6 : FTIR spectrum of Marble slurry based ceramic (MCC) before and after As (V) adsorption 74
Figure 6-7: The influence of initial adsorbate concentration of As (V) removal using MCC ceramics 75
Figure 6-8: Freundlich Isotherm curve for MCC ceramics 76
Figure 6-9: Effect of pH on arsenic removal efficiency using MCC ceramics 77
Figure 6-10 : Comparison of a) compressive (b) and flexural strength behavior of ceramics with (MCC) and without the addition of marble (CC). 78