List of Figures

Figures *Title* *page*

Figure 1.1: The map of Rajasthan India [Upadhyaya, 2014] 1
Figure 2.1: Distribution of equine population in India [*Source:* NRCE, 2015] 9
Figure 2.2: Local women of western Rajasthan region performing periodic finishing on mud structure 10
Figure 2.3: Open firing (baking) of pots in Rajasthan [*Source*: Roux, 2015] 14
Figure 2.4: Pit firing used in West Bengal, India [*Source*: Foster, 1956] 15
Figure 2.5: (a) Updraft kiln at Sar village, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India (b) Experimental scaled down updraught kiln at IIT Jodhpur (old campus), Rajasthan, India 15
Figure 2.6: Measurements and land preparation for pottery furnace construction 16
Figure 2.7: Steps of updraught kiln construction for baking pottery products 17
Figure 2.8: Signals produced during SEM scanning of sample material surfaces [*Source*: Suryanarayana, 2011] 18
Figure 2.9: Sample EDS image of clay-sawdust mix used in cruse manufacture in western Rajasthan [EVO SEM, IIT Jodhpur] 19
Figure 2.10: X-ray fluorescence by electron transfer due to high energy incident radiations 20
Figure 2.11: Outline of the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) setup 21
Figure 2.12: Three-point bend test bench 22
Figure 2.13: Modes of crack growth [*Source*: Feng *et al*., 2018] 23
Figure 2.14: A stochastic lognormal model with variables $Xibi$ 27
Figure 2.15: Sample stacked plot XRD data using Origin software package 29
Figure 2.16: Sample plot between Young's modulus and density by CES Edupack software 29
Figure 3.1: The MM-EO composite cantilever shelves at Arna Jharna desert museum [*Source:* Arna Jharna Desert Museum, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India] 31
Figure 3.2: (a) Pond soil (*Meth Mitti*, MM) local to Barmer region (b) Horse dung (EO) 32
Figure 3.3: The procedure of making the MM-EO mixure and its composite samples 34
Figure 3.4: (a) SEM micrograph of MM (b) EDS spectra of MM [Carl Zeiss EVO 18 SEM, IIT Jodhpur] 37
Figure 3.5: (a) FESEM micrograph of EO [Carl Zeiss EVO 18 SEM, IIT Jodhpur] (b) EDS spectra of EO [Carl Zeiss EVO 18 SEM, IIT Jodhpur] 37
Figure 3.6: Variation of density and thermal conductivity in the MM-EO composites 39
Figure 3.7: The variation of the weight of MM-EO samples with age and composition (Minitab 16 software, IIT Jodhpur License) 41
Figure 3.8: The variation of humidity and temperature during the 28-days period of MM-EO composite curing experiments conducted at Jodhpur 41
Figure 3.9: Comparative analysis of weight loss during curing experiments and prediction using Eq.(3.15) 43
Figure 3.10: Representation of three-point loading framework for rectangular specimens 43
Figure 3.11: Development of flexural strength in MM-EO composites with time 44
Figure 3.12: Flexural strength box plot of MM-EO composite for 28-day period corresponding to the Figure 3.11 44
Figure 3.13: The compressive strength of MM-EO composite as a function of initial volume fraction of water used to manufacture distinct composites 45
Figure 3.14: Compressive strength box plot of MM-EO composite for 28-day period corresponding to the Figure 3.13 46
Figure 3.15: Bi-modal distribution of fracture toughness with age 47
Figure 3.16: Plot of fracture toughness as a function of density for MM-EO composite 47
Figure 3.17: Fractured surfaces of MM-EO composites (M10E0, M7E3, M6E4, M5E5 and M4E6) observed using a 4X optical zoom camera 48
Figure 3.18: The first and third quartile values of surface roughness of the distinct samples of MM-EO composite 49
Figure 3.19: The roughness values of M5E5 specimen surfaces compared with surface roughness of other specimens 49
Figure 3.20: The fractured M5E5 specimen and the crack surfaces with protruding cellulosic fibers 50
Figure 3.21: The roughness values of M5E5 specimen surfaces compared with surface roughness of other specimens. 50
Figure 4.1: (a) Off-white pots manufactured in Rajasthan (b) Red color pots manufactured in Gujarat 53

Figure 4.2: Variation of thickness with variation of the height of pots 54
Figure 4.3: (a) SEM image of the clay of Sar village, Jodhpur, Rajasthan [Carl Zeiss EVO 18 SEM, IIT Jodhpur] (b) EDS plot for the clay of Sar village, Jodhpur, Rajasthan [Carl Zeiss EVO 18 SEM, IIT Jodhpur] 55
Figure 4.4: SEM Image of saltpetre or kalmishora [Carl Zeiss EVO 18 SEM, IIT Jodhpur] 55
Figure 4.5: Electrically operated pottery wheel 56
Figure 4.6: Traditional paddling tools used in Indian pottery manufacturing 57
Figure 4.7: (a) Thrown cruse (b) Paddled cruse 57
Figure 4.8: (a) Internal and (b) External surface topology of off-white pots [Carl Zeiss EVO 18 SEM, IIT Jodhpur] 60
Figure 4.9: FTIR Spectra of (a) off-white water pot (b) red water pot [Origin Pro 16 Software, IIT Jodhpur License] 60
Figure 4.10: Strength as a function of the height of the off-white and red water pots 61
Figure 4.11: Water temperature profiles of pots during August at Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India 62
Figure 4.12: Water temperature profiles of pots during November at Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India 62
Figure 5.1: The G-filter setup in a house in Banad village, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India 66
Figure 5.2: Project management thought in western Rajasthan 67
Figure 5.3: Octagonal prism-based socio-technology project management system for G-filter knowledge dissemination [Satankar *et al.*, 2018] 68
Figure 5.4: G-filter manufacturing steps 69
Figure 5.5: The geometrical arrangement of filter greenware (G-filter) within the up-draught furnace (Solidworks, 2015, IIT Jodhpur License) 70
Figure 5.6: Time history of measured overall temperature variation in the furnace that precludes cracking of the G-filter 70
Figure 5.7: The percolation rate of the G-filter in the completely filled condition 71
Figure 5.8: Compressive strength as a function of the density of G-filter material 72
Figure 5.9: Schematic of the microbial filtration set-up 73
Figure 6.1: SCMI-based products and processes 75