

## List of Tables

<i>Table</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>page</i>
2.1	Visual Differences Between Machine Printed and Handwritten Text.	10
2.2	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for handwritten character classification from printed text.	12
2.3	Overview of Dataset, Performance and Methods applied for Classification of Handwritten Text Blocks from Printed Text Blocks.	14
2.4	Overview of Dataset, Performance and Methods applied for Handwritten Words Classification from Printed Text.	17
2.5	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for classification of handwritten connected components from printed connected components.	21
2.6	Overview of Dataset, Performance and Methods applied for Classifying Handwritten Pixels from Printed Pixels.	23
2.7	Overview of Dataset, Performance and Methods applied for Handwritten Text-line Classification from Printed Text-line.	25
2.8	Overview of Post Processing Methods applied for Classification of Handwritten Text Printed Text.	27
3.1	Writer Identification: Dependent vs Independent Text.	31
3.2	Distinction Between Writer Identification and Writer Verification.	32
3.3	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for writer identification by means of feature based character shape analysis.	34
3.4	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for writer identification by means of feature based grapheme/connected component shape analysis.	35
3.5	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for writer identification by means of codebook based character shape analysis.	36
3.6	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for writer identification by means of codebook based grapheme/connected Component shape analysis.	38
3.7	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for writer identification by means hybrid approaches.	39
3.8	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for writer identification of a document by means of local and global features.	43
3.9	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for writer identification of a document by means of word property analysis.	48
3.10	Overview of dataset, performance and methods applied for writer identification of a document by means of text-line property analysis.	51
4.1	Types of annotations present in dataset	60
4.2	Comparison in Graph Cut, K-means and Agglomerative Clustering on <b>our Dataset</b>	60
4.3	Comparison in Graph Cut, K-means and Agglomerative Clustering on <b>IAM Dataset</b>	60
4.4	<i>Variation of Envelop Straightness (ES) Feature Values for Printed Text and Annotations.</i>	65
4.5	ES performance	66
4.6	Description of The Feature Sets Used in our Experiment	66
4.7	Annotations and printed text extraction rates with Graph Cuts framework on <b>Our Dataset</b>	67

4.8	Annotations and printed text extraction rates with Graph Cuts framework on <b>IAM Dataset</b>	67
5.1	Set 1: Annotation localization when the dictionary is trained on images comprising all annotations and the testing is performed on similar images.	83
5.2	Set 2: Annotation localization when the dictionary is trained on individual annotations and the testing is performed on the images containing all the annotations.	84
5.3	Set 3: Annotation localization when the dictionary is trained on images comprising only textual annotations and the testing is performed on similar images, and vice versa.	84
5.4	Set 4: Annotation localization when the dictionary is trained on individual annotations and the testing is performed on the images containing individual annotations.	84
5.5	Annotation localization on IAM and PRImA dataset by CRF textual annotation detection model.	86
5.6	Comparison of SVM with CRF on multi-annotated documents.	89
5.7	Comparison of SVM with CRF on single-annotated documents.	89
6.1	Set 1: Annotation localization when the dictionary is trained on images comprising all annotations and the testing is performed on similar images.	104
6.2	Set 2: Annotation localization when the dictionary is trained on individual annotations and the testing is performed on the images containing all the annotations.	105
6.3	Set 3: Annotation localization when the dictionary is trained on images comprising only textual annotations and the testing is performed on similar images, and vice versa.	108
6.4	Set 4 : Annotation localization when the dictionary is trained on individual annotations and the testing is performed on the images containing individual annotations.	108
6.5	Annotation localization on IAM and PRImA dataset by DS textual annotation detection model	109
6.6	Comparison of SVM with DS on multi-annotated documents.	109
6.7	Comparison of SVM with DS on single-class annotated documents.	110
7.1	Composition of Dataset along with the achieved accuracies.	124
7.2	Performance accuracies of our core-region detection method for handwritten words in comparison with the <i>state-of-the-art</i> methods on different datasets.	126
8.1	Writer identification Rate for an overlapping window at grapheme level	135
8.2	Writer identification rate for non-overlapping window at grapheme level	135
8.3	Writer Identification Rate for an overlapping window at Character Level	135
8.4	Writer Identification Rate for non-overlapping window at Character Level	135
8.5	Writer Identification rate for an overlapping window based on [Slimane and Margner, 2014]	136
8.6	Writer Identification rate for non-overlapping window based on [Slimane and Margner, 2014]	136