List of Figures

2.1 Various research areas born from Quantum theory. [Bacco et al., 2015]. 6

2.2 Bloch sphere representation of a qubit [Nielsen and Chuang, 2000]. 6

2.3 Pauli matrices used in quantum communication. [Nielsen and Chuang, 2002]. 7

2.4 Experimental setup for two-channel Bell test [Aspect et al., 1982]. 12

2.5 In a Gaussian beam wave: Rayleigh length (Z_R), divergence half angle in the limit $z \to \infty$ is denoted by Θ, minimum beam waist is denoted by ω_0. We get Gaussian shaped intensity profile for all values of z Louisell [1964]; Pantell and Puthoff [1969]. 24

3.1 (Color online) Effect of noise on probabilistic CBRSP scheme is visualized through variation of fidelity $F_{\rm AD}$ (for amplitude-damping noise model) and $F_{\rm PD}$ (for phase-damping noise model) with respect to amplitude information of the states to be prepared remotely (i.e., θ_i) and decoherence rates (i.e., η_i) for various situations: (a) amplitude-damping noise with $\theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{3}$, (b) amplitude-damping noise with $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$, (c) amplitude-damping noise with $\eta_A = 0.5$, (d) phase-damping noise with $\theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{3}$, (e) phase-damping noise with $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$, (f) phase-damping noise with $\eta_P = 0.5$. . . . 40

3.2 (Color online) Comparison of the effect of amplitude-damping noise (solid line) with phase damping noise (dashed line) by assuming $\eta_A = \eta_P = \eta$ and $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$, $\theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{3}$ in (a) and $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{6}$, $\theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ in (b). In (a) the fidelity for amplitude-damping noise is always greater than that for the phase-damping noise for the same value of decoherence rate η. While in (b) the fidelity for amplitude-damping noise becomes less than that for the phase-damping noise for the same value of decoherence rate η after certain value of η. 41

3.3 (Color online) Quantum circuits that can transform 5-qubit cat states (states of the form $|\psi\rangle_{\rm in} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00000\rangle + |11111\rangle)$ to the states of the form (3.3). As 5-qubit cat states [Zhao et al., 2004], Hadamard and CNOT gates are implemented optically [O'Brien et al., 2004], the 5-qubits states of the form (3.3) are also experimentally realizable. 49

3.4 Quantum circuit for satellite communication. 49

4.1 Block diagram of DPS (differential phase shift) QKD protocol [Inoue et al., 2002] . 59

4.2 QKD in CR and CD noises is shown in (a) and (b), respectively. For CR noise both B92 and BBM protocols have the same fidelity (in (a)). The smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines in (b) correspond to B92 and BBM protocols, respectively. In (c), the smooth (blue) line corresponds to the fidelity variation with probability of bit-phase flip error for B92 protocol. This same curve also illustrates the dependence of fidelity on probability in all four possible cases discussed in the text for BBM protocol. The dashed (red) and dotted dashed (cyan) lines show fidelity variation in B92 scheme with bit/phase flip error and depolarizing channel, respectively. The smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines also demonstrate fidelity variation when B92 and BBM protocols are subjected to phase damping noise. (d) demonstrates the effect of AD (in smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines); GAD (in dotted dashed (cyan) and dotted (magenta) lines) with temperature $T = 1$; and SGAD (in large dashed (orange) and large dotted dashed (purple) lines) with $T = 1$ and squeezing parameters $r = 1$ and $\Phi = \frac{\pi}{8}$ for B92 and BBM protocols, respectively. 64

4.3 (a)-(d) illustrates the fidelity obtained for QKA protocols when subjected to AD, PD, CR and CD noises, respectively. The smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines correspond to single-qubit-based and entangled-state-based QKA protocols, respectively. For CR and CD noises it is assumed that the noise parameter is same for both the directions of travel of the qubit (i.e., Alice to Bob and Bob to Alice). In (e), the effect of bit flip error on single-qubit-based QKA and entangled-state-based QKA protocols are shown using smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines, respectively. In the same plot, dotted dashed (cyan) and large dotted dashed (purple) lines correspond to the effect of depolarizing channel on Shi et al.'s and Shukla et al.'s QKA schemes, respecetively; and the dotted (magenta) line illustrates the effect of bit-phase flip error on the single-qubit-based QKA scheme. (f) corresponds to the effect of AD in smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines; GAD in dotted dashed (cyan) and dotted (magenta) lines with $T = 1$; and SGAD in large dashed (orange) and large dotted dashed (purple) lines with $T = 1$ and squeezing parameters $r = 1$ and $\Phi = \frac{\pi}{8}$ for single-qubit-based and entangled-state-based QKA protocols, respectively. 68

4.4 Contour and 3D variation of fidelity of entangled-state-based QKA protocol is shown in CR and CD noises. Specifically, in (a) and (c) the contour plots under the effect of CR and CD noise are shown. Corresponding 3D plots can be seen in (b) and (d), respectively. The same plots are obtained for PP protocol as well. A detailed discussion follows in Subsection 4.4.3. 69

4.5 QSDC under AD, PD, CR and CD noises are depicted in (a), (b), (c) and (d), respectively. The smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines correspond to LM05 and PP protocols, respectively. For CR and CD noises it is assumed that the noise parameter is same for both the directions of travel of the qubit (i.e., Alice to Bob and Bob to Alice). In (e), the fidelity under the depolarizing channel for LM05 and PP protocols are shown in dotted (magenta) and dotted dashed (cyan) lines, respectively. In all the remaining cases of PP and bit phase flip for LM05 the red line illustrates the fall and revival in fidelity. Lastly, the blue line corresponds to the fidelity in bit flip and phase flip errors in LM05 scheme. (f) illustrates the effect of AD (i.e., an interaction with a zero temperature and squeezing bath) in smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines; GAD (i.e., an interaction with a non-zero temperature and zero squeezing bath) in dotted dashed (cyan) and dotted (magenta) lines with $T = 1$; and SGAD (finite temperature and squeezing bath) in large dashed (orange) and large dotted dashed (purple) lines with $T = 1$ and squeezing parameters $r = 1$ and $\Phi = \frac{\pi}{8}$ for LM05 and PP protocols, respectively. 74

4.6 Contour plots of fidelity of LM05 protocol when subjected to CR and CD noises in (a) and (b), respectively. 75

4.7 QD protocols are analyzed under AD, PD, CR and CD noises in (a)-(d), respectively. The smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines correspond to the single-qubit-based and Ba An's QD protocols, respectively. For CR and CD noises it is assumed that the noise parameter is same for both the directions of travel of the qubit (i.e., Alice to Bob and Bob to Alice). In (e), bit/phase flip is shown together for the single-qubit-based QD and Ba An protocol of QD in smooth (blue) and dotted (magenta) lines, respectively. For Ba An protocol of QD bit phase flip errors matches exactly with the previous case. However, for single-qubit-based scheme, it is shown in dashed (red) line. Under the depolarizing channel the fidelity variation for the single-qubit-based scheme and Ba An protocol of QD is demonstrated by dotted dashed (cyan) and large dotted dashed (purple) lines, respectively. (f) corresponds to the effect of AD (i.e., an interaction with a zero temperature and squeezing bath) in smooth (blue) and dashed (red) lines; GAD (i.e., an interaction with a non-zero temperature and zero squeezing bath) in dotted dashed (cyan) and dotted (magenta) lines with $T = 1$; and SGAD (finite temperature and squeezing bath) in large dashed (orange) and large dotted dashed (purple) lines with $T = 1$ and squeezing parameters $r = 1$ and $\Phi = \frac{\pi}{8}$ for the single-qubit-based and Ba An's QD protocols, respectively. 79
4.8 Contour plots illustrating the variation of fidelity of the single-qubit-based Shi et al. protocol and entangled-state-based Ba An protocol of QD in CR and CD noises, respectively. 80

5.1 Scenario of noise and attack as used in this work: Bob transmits to Alice one half of a Bell state, on which Alice encodes her bit by applying either the operation I or σ_Z, before returning it to Bob. The action of noise is idealized as acting before Eve's action Q in the onward leg and after her action Q^{-1} in the return leg. 87
5.2 (Color online) Performance of the modified Ping-pong protocol under AD noise: The bold (blue), dashed (red) and dotted (green) plots represents I_{AB}, I_{AE} and the Holevo bound for Alice-Bob. That $I_{AB} > I_{AE}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ implies that noise is beneficial to the legitimate users. In the noiseless limit, the Holevo bound coincides with I_{AB}, implying that the measurement strategy is optimal. 88
5.3 (Color online) Performance of the Ping-pong QKD protocol under depolarizing noise: The bold (black) and dashed (red) plots represent I_{AB} and I_{AE} with the Holevo bound for Alice-Bob coinciding with I_{AB}. As a function of noise parameter p, I_{AE} remains constant at the noiseless value of 0.311, because Eve's attack strategy is indifferent to unital noise. That I_{AB} equals the Holevo bound implies that Bob's Bell state measurement in the modified Ping-pong protocol is already optimal. . . . 91

6.1 Global quantum communication system [Hosseinidehaj et al., 2017]. 96
6.2 Basic quantum communication system [Hosseinidehaj et al., 2017]. 97
6.3 1 108
6.4 1 109
6.5 1 109
6.6 1 111