List of Figures

Figures	*Title*	*Page*
1.1	Basic design of an electrochemical gas sensor (Source: Electrochemical Sensors, (www.intlsensor.com))	*3*
1.2	Schematic diagram of SAW gas sensor (Source: Liu *et al.*, 2018)	*4*
1.3	Schematic diagram of NDIR gas sensor (Source: Hodgkinson *et al.*, 2013)	*4*
1.4	Basic device structure of capacitive gas sensors (a) MOS (b) MOM (Source: Bindra *et al.*, 2018)	*5*
1.5	Schematic representations of catalytic gas sensors in clean air and combustible gas (Source: https://instrumentationtools.com/catalytic-type-gas-sensor-principle)	*6*
1.6	Schematic diagram of FET based gas sensor where nanowire (1D nanostructure) is placed in between the source and drain (Source: Huang *et al.*, 2009)	*9*
1.7	Schematic device structures of metal oxide gas sensors (a) ceramic tube (Taguchi type) (b) Planner type gas sensors (Source: Sung *et al.*, 2012)	*9*
1.8	Gas sensing mechanism of ZnO nanofibers under UV illumination: (a) ZnO nanoplates and (b) ZnO nanoflowers in the presence of HCHO (Source: Cui *et al.*, 2016)	*11*
1.9	Schematic diagram of the effect of grain size of MOx for gas sensing response (Sun *et al.*, 2012)	*12*
1.10	(a) Gas response with different Co doping concentration at 300°C, (b) gas response of all sensors for different H2 concentration at 300°C, and (c) gas sensing mechanism of undoped and Co-doped ZnO NRs (Source: Sett *et al.*, 2017)	*14*
1.11	Dynamic response of gas sensor under different concentrations of NO_2 for pure ZNWs (150°C) and Pd-ZNWs (100°C) at (a) 30% RH and (b) 60% RH and (c-d) corresponding gas sensor response at (c) 30% RH and (d) 60% RH (e) gas sensing mechanism for Pd-ZNWs under NO_2 and reducing gases (Source: Chen *et al.*, 2019)	*16*
1.12	(a) Sensors response of 5 ppm NO_2 with different temperatures, (b) sensors response of 5 ppm NO_2 with different RGO concentrations at 400°C, (c-d) sensing mechanism of pure n-ZnO NFs and RGO-ZnO heterojunctions (Source: Abideen *et al.*, 2015)	*18*
1.13	FESEM images of all samples: (a,b) pure ZnO, (c,d) 0.125:1 CuO/ZnO, (e,f) 0.25:1 CuO/ZnO and (g,h) 0.5:1 CuO/ZnO, (i) sensing response of all samples for 100 ppm ethanol at different temperatures, and (j,k) sensing mechanism using band bending diagram (Source: Zhang *et al.*, 2014)	*20*
1.14	(a-c) Comparison of sensing responses for sensors with and without UV irradiation at different temperatures, (d) dynamic responses of sensors under UV irradiation, (e-g) sensing mechanism of Au/rGO/ZnO sensor in (e) air (f) air with UV, and (g) in H_2 gas with UV irradiation (Source: Drmosh *et al.*, 2019)	*22*
1.15	(a) Normalized dynamic resistances of ZnO NF with different doses of e-beam for 0.1, 1, and 10 ppm H_2 at 350°C, (b) calibration curves, (c-f) sensing mechanism of e-beam irradiated H_2 sensor (Source: Kim *et al.*, 2019)	*24*
2.1	Crystal structure of (a) anatase (b) rutile (Source: Jia *et al.*, 2016)	*29*
2.2	Different types of crystal structures of ZnO (Source: Özgür *et al.*, 2005)	*30*
2.3	(a) Schematic diagram of sputtering process (Source: Yasrebi *et al.*, 2014) (b) Experimental setup of RF sputtering system at IIT Jodhpur	*32*
2.4	Mask Aligner setup for photolithography at IIT Jodhpur	*33*
2.5	Schematic diagram of fabrication steps in the drop cast method	*34*
2.6	(a) Basic diagram of thermal evaporation (Source: Lakhtakia *et al.*, 2013) (b) Thermal evaporation system at IIT Jodhpur	*34*
2.7	Schematic diagram of Bragg's Law (Source: https://wiki.anton-paar.com/en/x-ray-diffraction-xrd/)	*35*
2.8	Powder XRD machine at IIT Jodhpur	*36*
2.9	(a) Schematic diagram of scanning electron microscopy (Source: https://sites.google.com/site/frontierlab2011/scannig-electron-microscope/principie-of-sem) (b) SEM facility available at IIT Jodhpur	*37*
2.10	Schematic diagram of various information generated from the sample (upto depth ~ 5µm) during interaction of primary electron (Source:	*37*

	https://chem.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Analytical_Chemistry/Book%3A_Physical_Methods_in_Chemistry_and_Nano_Science_(Barron)/09%3A_Surface_Morphology_and_Structure/9.3%3A_SEM_and_its_Applications_for_Polymer_Science)	
2.11	(a) Basic diagram of the working principle of AFM (Source: Guo *et al.*, 2013) (b) Experimental set up of AFM facility at IIT Jodhpur	*38*
2.12	Basic diagram of UV visible spectrophotometer (Source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Schematic_of_UV_visible_spectrophotometer.png)	*39*
2.13	Block diagram of Raman spectrometer (Source: Li *et al.*, 2014)	*40*
2.14	Schematic illustration of photoemission process (Source: http://www.coretechint.com/technical_info-xps.php)	*41*
2.15	Schematic diagram of basic instrumentation of FTIR spectrometer (https://chemistry.oregonstate.edu/courses/ch361-464/ch362/irinstrs.htm)	*42*
2.16	Experimental set up for electrical measurement at IIT Jodhpur	*43*
2.17	Customized gas sensing chamber used in IIT Jodhpur (Inset Figure shows the sample platform inside the gas chamber	*44*
3.1	Schematic diagram of M/TiO_2 device	*46*
3.2	XRD spectra of TiO_2 nanoplates	*47*
3.3	FESEM images of TiO2 nanoplates (a) Top view and (b) Tilted view	*47*
3.4	Current-Voltage (I-V) characteristics of Au/TiO_2, Al/TiO_2, Ag/TiO_2, Ni/TiO_2 in (a) air and (b)1% hydrogen at 175 C	*48*
3.5	Current density versus square root of reverse voltage for Au/TiO_2 in hydrogen at 175˚C	*49*
3.6	Temperature dependent barrier height modulation in air to hydrogen atmosphere	*49*
3.7	Relative response curve of Al/TiO_2, Ag/TiO_2, Ni/TiO_2, and Au/TiO_2 at 175˚C to 1% hydrogen	*50*
3.8	Temperature dependent hydrogen sensing curves of (a) Al/TiO_2 (b) Ag/TiO_2 (c) Ni/TiO_2 and (d) Au/TiO_2	*51*
3.9	Base resistance as a function of temperature at fixed 1 μA current	*51*
3.10	Variation of (a) response time and (b) recovery time with temperature	*52*
3.11	Relative response of M/TiO_2 structure with temperature	*53*
3.12	Schematic illustration of hydrogen sensing mechanism in (a-b).Energy band diagram of M/TiO_2 in (c), (e) and (g) for air and (d), (f) and (h) for hydrogen	*54*
4.1	Schematic diagram of ZnO target	*56*
4.2	XRD pattern of (a) undoped, (b) 2% Ni- doped, (c) 4% Ni- doped and (d) 6% Ni- doped ZnO nanostructures	*57*
4.3	(a-h) Top view and cross sectional view of FESEM images for (a-b) undoped, (c-d) 2% Ni-doped, (e-f) 4% Ni- doped and (g-h) 6% Ni- doped ZnO nanostructures	*58*
4.4	(a-c) EDX spectra of 2%, 4%, and 6% Ni -doped ZnO nanostructures, respectively	*59*
4.5	CL spectra of undoped and 4% Ni -doped ZnO nanostructures at RT	*59*
4.6	(a) Schematic diagram of Sensor device, (b-e) I-V characteristics of undoped, 2% Ni-doped, 4% Ni- doped, and 6% Ni- doped ZnO nanostructure in presence of air as well as 1% hydrogen at 150˚C	*60*
4.7	Gas sensor relative response for undoped, 2%, 4%, and 6% Ni- doped ZnO nanostructures with increasing operating temperature ranging from RT to 150°C for 1% hydrogen concentration	*61*
4.8	Relative response of undoped and Ni- doped ZnO nanostructures based sensor at 150˚C	*62*
4.9	Long term stability curve of 4% Ni- doped ZnO nanoplates for 150 days	*62*
4.10	4% Ni- doped ZnO based sensor relative response with increasing hydrogen concentration from 1ppm to 100 ppm at 75 °C	*63*
4.11	(a-d) Temperature dependent resistance v/s time curve for undoped, 2%, 4%, and 6% Ni-doped ZnO nanostructures based sensor on exposure of 1% hydrogen with operating temperature ranging from RT to 150 °C	*63*
4.12	(a-d) Rate of change in resistance with temperature for undoped, 2%, 4%, and 6% Ni- doped ZnO nanostructures, respectively	*64*
4.13	Activation energy plot for undoped and Ni- doped ZnO nanostructures	*64*
4.14	Selectivity test of different target gases for 5 ppm concentration at 150°C operating temperature	*65*
5.1	Schematic diagram for gas sensor fabrication which includes (a) Ni doped ZnO nanostructure deposited on p-Si substrate using RF sputtering with IDEs (b-c) Drop cast method used to decorate rGO over Ni doped ZnO nanostructures	*71*

5.2	(a-b) XRD spectra of Pristine and 0.75 wt% rGO loaded Ni doped ZnO nanostructures	*71*
5.3	(a-b) Raman spectra of pristine and 0.75 wt% rGO samples	*72*
5.4	(a) Digital photograph of the gas sensor with Cr /Au IDEs structure for gas sensing applications and the magnified SEM images (b-d) Two Cr /Au electrodes lines of IDEs structures, Ni doped ZnO nanostructures, and 0.75 wt% rGO loaded ZnO, respectively	*72*
5.5	Room temperature I-V characteristics of various concentration (0.25 wt% to 0.75 wt%) of rGO loaded sensor and highest concentration of rGO (1.5 wt%) sample, respectively	*73*
5.6	Sensor's relative response for pristine and rGO loaded ZnO for 100 ppm hydrogen gas at 150°C operating temperature	*74*
5.7	Sensor's relative response from 1ppm to 100 ppm at 150 °C operating temperature for (a) 0.75 wt% rGO loaded sensor and (b) comparative studies for all rGO loaded sensors and where, the inset figure represents the enlarged linear fitted hydrogen gas sensing response from 1 to 10 ppm	*75*
5.8	Selectivity histogram for Pristine and rGO loaded Ni doped ZnO nanostructures based sensor	*76*
5.9	(a-b) Schematic diagram of gas sensing mechanism in presence of air and hydrogen for rGO loaded 4% Ni-ZnO nanostructures and (c-e) The band diagram illustration of rGO/ZnO heterojunction before contact and after contact (in air, in hydrogen)	*78*
5.10	Schematic diagram of various fabrication steps for final device	*80*
5.11	Optical image of IDE pattern on V_2O_5 thin film	*81*
5.12	XRD pattern of the V_2O_5 thin film	*81*
5.13	XPS spectra of rGO-decorated V_2O_5 thin films: (a) V 2p and O 1s and (b) C 1s	*82*
5.14	AFM images of V_2O_5 thin film	*82*
5.15	Contact potential difference (V_{CPD}) of (a) rGO and (b) V_2O_5 thin film as a function of number of points taken during the work function estimation	*83*
5.16	Room-temperature *I–V* characteristics of (a) V_2O_5 thin film and rGO-decorated V_2O_5 thin film and (b) rGO thin film/IDE/glass substrate	*83*
5.17	Relative response of V_2O_5 thin film towards NO_2 gas from 1 to 100 ppm at different temperatures (room temperature to 150 °C)	*84*
5.18	Comparison of relative response for V_2O_5 thin film towards 100 ppm of NO_2 gas at different temperatures	*85*
5.19	Relative response for NO_2 gas at 150 °C: (a) rGO-decorated V_2O_5 thin film and (b) rGO thin film/IDE/glass substrate	*85*
5.20	Response and recovery time calculation for rGO decorated V_2O_5 thin film for 100 ppm NO_2 gas at 150 °C	*86*
5.21	Comparison of relative response for V_2O_5 thin film, rGO film, and rGO-decorated V_2O_5 thin film for 100 ppm NO_2 gas at 150 °C	*86*
5.22	Variation of baseline resistance with relative humidity for rGO-decorated V_2O_5 thin film at 150 °C	*88*
5.23	Schematic diagram of the sensing mechanism in the presence of air and NO_2 for rGO-decorated V_2O_5 thin film showing (a)–(c) band diagram illustrations of the p–n heterojunction before and after contact	*89*
6.1	Schematic diagram of CNF/ZnO nanostructures based device	*92*
6.2	Optical image of (a) pure CNF and (b-f) different concentration of CNF (0.1-0.5 wt%)/ZnO nanostructures	*93*
6.3	XRD pattern of (a) CNF (b) ZnO nanostructures (c) different concentrations of CNF (0.1–0.5 wt%) loaded on ZnO nanostructures	*93*
6.4	Raman Spectra of CNF	*94*
6.5	FESEM images of (a) CNF (b) ZnO (c) CNF decorated on ZnO (d) 0.1 wt% CNF/ZnO (e) 0.2 wt% CNF/ZnO (f) 0.3 wt% CNF/ZnO (g) 0.4 wt% CNF/ZnO (h) 0.5 wt% CNF/ZnO	*95*
6.6	FTIR spectra of (a) CNF (b) pristine ZnO and CNF loaded on ZnO nanostructures	*96*
6.7	Room temperature I–V characteristics of (a) CNF/SiO_2 versus SiO_2 (b) ZnO versus (0.1-0.5 wt%) CNF/ZnO	*97*
6.8	Comparison of relative response; (a) Dynamic sensing response (b) Maximum relative response for all sensors for 100 ppm hydrogen gas at 150 °C	*98*
6.9	Response time and recovery time for 0.2 wt% CNF loaded ZnO nanostructures	*98*
6.10	Relative response curve for 0.2 wt% CNF/ZnO nanostructures from 1 to 100 ppm hydrogen gas at 150 °C	*99*
6.11	Repeatability curve of 0.2 wt% CNF/ZnO nanostructures based sensor for 100 ppm	*99*

hydrogen gas at 150 °C
6.12 Band diagram demonstration of CNF/ZnO nanostructures (a) before contact and (b–c) after contact (presence of air and hydrogen) and (d–e) schematic diagram for hydrogen sensing mechanism *101*