

List of Figures

| Figures | Title | page |
|---------|--|-------|
| 2.1 | Weld metal oxygen analysis as a part of the percent metal oxide contained in the flux [Chai and Eagar, 1982] | 9 |
| 2.2 | Acicular microstructure for API X65 fused metal [Beidokhti et al, 2015] | 10 |
| 2.3 | Shows the high magnification micrographs of MA elements (arrowed) in coarse grained HAZ, (a) rapid cooling (b) conventional cooling [Yan et al, 2104] | 14 |
| 2.4 | Mechanism of crack formation associated with dislocation shear bands: A- micro-crack nucleation B- blunting and arrest; C- crack formation within the shear bands; D- macro-crack formation. [Allen et al, 1992] | 15 |
| 2.5 | Example of chevron cracking: A- Cracking in a vertical longitudinal section; B- SEM image of crack surface after breaking at room temperature [Allen et al, 1992] | 15 |
| 2.6 | Example of chevron cracking: Fracture morphologies in the as-weld regions by using scanning electron microscope (SEM) [Allen et al, 1992] | 16 |
| 2.7 | Fracture morphologies in the as-weld regions by using transmission electron microscope (TEM) (a) inter-columnar cleavage; (b) trans-columnar dimples [Allen et al, 1992] | 16 |
| 4.1 | Confined design space as per simplex design; (a-b) three component regions; (c) tetrahedron component region [Cornell, 2002] | 23 |
| 4.2 | Bead profile observed for basic flux at 450 Amp, 32 V and 14 inch/min welding parameters (flux no. 5 F5B) | 26 |
| 4.3 | Experimental Ternary phase diagrams for, (a) basic system; (b) rutile basic system; (c) rutile acidic system (J. Mukerji et. al., 1965, Eriksson G et al., 1993, De Vries et al., 1955). | 29 |
| 4.4 | 3D-polyhedron designed as per mixture design approach for flux formulation | 29 |
| 4.5 | (a) Schematic representation of the horizontal muffle furnace; (b) macro-appearance of molten flux drop above the heating plate | 34 |
| 4.6 | Contact angle measurement of various flux pallets (For rutile-acidic system) | 34 |
| 4.7 | Steps of multi-pass bead on plate weld deposits experimentation using SAW machine | 35-36 |
| 4.8 | Weld coupon dimensions | 38 |
| 4.9 | (a) Clamping of base plate specimens; (b) Conduction of submerged arc welding using adequate fluxes; (c) Seven submerged arc weldments | 39 |
| 4.10 | For different testing the arrangement of cutting specimens (All dimensions are in mm) | 39 |
| 4.11 | (a) Standard tensile test specimen (ASTM A387, all dimensions in mm); (b) Actual seven tensile test specimen | 40 |
| 4.12 | (a) Standard impact test specimen; (b) Actual impact test specimen | 40 |
| 4.13 | Specimens for microhardness testing of weld and HAZ | 41 |
| 4.14 | (a) HIC set-up; (b) S1 and S2 shows the dimensions in a rectangular block to find the crack lengths [Courtesy: Jindal SAW Limited, Mundra] | 42 |
| 5.1 | Predicted versus actual plots for various properties; (a) Grain fineness number (GFN); (b) Density; (c) Weight loss; (d) Change in enthalpy; (e) Thermal conductivity; (f) Thermal diffusivity; (g) Specific heat (for basic flux system) | 64 |
| 5.2 | Predicted versus actual plots for various properties; (a) Grain fineness number (GFN); (b) Density; (c) Weight loss; (d) Change in enthalpy; (e) Thermal conductivity; (f) Thermal diffusivity; (g) Specific heat (for rutile-basic flux system) | 65 |
| 5.3 | Predicted versus actual plots for various properties; (a) Grain fineness number (GFN); (b) Density; (c) Weight loss; (d) Change in enthalpy; (e) Thermal conductivity; (f) Thermal diffusivity; (g) Specific heat (for rutile-acidic flux system) | 66 |
| 5.4 | Relation between percentage weight change and temperature for three flux systems; (a-b) graphical plots for basic flux system; (c-d) graphical plots for rutile-basic flux system; (e-f) graphical plots for rutile-acidic flux system | 68-69 |
| 5.5 | Graphical plots between change in enthalpy vs. temperature for three flux systems; (a-b) plots for basic flux system; (c-d) plots for rutile-basic flux system; (e-f) plots for rutile-acidic flux system | 69-70 |
| 5.6 | Contour plot of physicochemical & thermophysical properties for basic flux system | 71-72 |
| 5.7 | Contour plot of physicochemical & thermophysical properties for rutile-basic flux | 73-74 |

| | | |
|------|---|---------|
| | system | |
| 5.8 | Contour plot of physicochemical & thermophysical properties for rutile-acidic flux system | 74-75 |
| 5.9 | (a-c): Influence of flux compositional ratios on contact angle (Rutile-acidic system) | 78-79 |
| 5.10 | Contact angle vs. spreading area variation (Rutile-acidic system) | 79 |
| 5.11 | (a-c): Influence of flux compositional ratios on surface tension (Rutile-acidic system) | 80 |
| 5.12 | (a-c): Work of adhesion behaviour at different flux ratios (Rutile-acidic system) | 81 |
| 5.13 | X-ray diffraction pattern for (a-b) basic flux system; (c-d) rutile-basic flux system; (e-f) rutile-acidic flux system | 82 |
| 5.14 | FTIR plots for three flux systems; (a) flux 1 (F1) basic flux system; (b) flux 1 (F1) rutile-basic flux system; (c) flux 1 (F1) rutile-acidic flux system | 83 |
| 5.15 | Weld bead depth of penetration, bead width and bead height analysis; (a-b) for flux 4 and 14 of basic flux system; (c-d) for flux 1 and 3 of rutile-basic flux system; (e-f) for flux 4 and 6 of rutile-acidic flux system | 84 |
| 5.16 | Predicted versus actual plots for various properties of multi-pass bead on plate; (a-f) bead chemical composition constituents; (g) grain size; (h) microhardness (for basic flux system) | 106 |
| 5.17 | Predicted versus actual plots for various properties of multi-pass bead on plate; (a-h) bead chemical composition constituents; (i) grain size; (j) microhardness (for rutile-basic flux system) | 107 |
| 5.18 | Predicted versus actual plots for various properties of multi-pass bead on plate; (a-h) bead chemical composition constituents; (i) grain size; (j) microhardness (for rutile-acidic flux system) | 108 |
| 5.19 | Contour plot of multi-pass bead on plate weld deposit properties for basic flux system; (a-f) weld bead chemical constituents; (g) weld bead grain size; (h) weld bead microhardness | 111-112 |
| 5.20 | Contour plot of multi-pass bead on plate weld deposit properties for rutile-basic flux system; (a-h) weld bead chemical constituents; (i) weld bead grain size; (j) weld bead microhardness | 113-114 |
| 5.21 | Contour plot of multi-pass bead on plate weld deposit properties for rutile-acidic flux system; (a-h) weld bead chemical constituents; (i) weld bead grain size; (j) weld bead microhardness | 114-116 |
| 5.22 | Visual examination of specimens immersed in fresh water solution after exposure of thirty days (Note AR: as received) | 120 |
| 5.23 | Visual examination of specimens immersed in sea water solution (pH=8.2) after exposure of thirty days | 120 |
| 5.24 | Visual examination of specimens immersed in 5%NaCl+10 ⁻³ mol/l sodium thiosulphate solution at pH-5 solution (pH=5) after exposure of thirty days | 121 |
| 5.25 | Visual examination of specimens immersed in 5%NaCl+10 ⁻² mol/l sodium thiosulphate solution at pH-5 solution (pH=3) after exposure of thirty days | 121 |
| 5.26 | (a) Average percentage weight change and (b) corrosion rate of as received metal in fresh and sea water after thirty days exposure | 122 |
| 5.27 | (a) Average percentage weight change and (b) corrosion rate of HT-1 specimens (at tempering temp. 300°, 450° and 600° C) in fresh water after thirty day exposure | 122 |
| 5.28 | (a) Average percentage weight change and (b) corrosion rate of HT-1 specimens (at tempering temp. 300°, 450° and 600° C) in sea water after thirty day exposure | 123 |
| 5.29 | (a) Average percentage weight change and (b) corrosion rate of HT-2 specimens (at tempering temp. 300°, 450° and 600° C) in fresh water after thirty day exposure | 124 |
| 5.30 | (a) Average percentage weight change and (b) corrosion rate of HT-2 specimens (at tempering temp. 300°, 450° and 600° C) in sea water after thirty day exposure | 124 |
| 5.31 | (a) Average percentage weight change and (b) corrosion rate of HT-1 specimens (at tempering temp. 300°, 450° and 600° C) in 5% NaCl + 10 ⁻² mol/l sodium thiosulphate solution (pH=3) | 125 |
| 5.32 | Relation between mirohardness vs. tempering temperature in fresh & sea water medium | 126 |
| 5.33 | Relation between mirohardness vs. tempering temperature in sodium thiosulphate medium | 127 |
| 5.34 | Relation between impact toughness vs. tempering temperature for HT-1 & HT-2 specimens | 127 |

| | | |
|------|--|---------|
| 5.35 | (a) Base metal tafel plots in various test environments; (b) Corrosion rate of base metal in various test environments | 133 |
| 5.36 | (a-b) Tafel plot and corrosion rate of HT-1 specimens in sea water medium; (c-d) Tafel plot and corrosion rate of HT-2 specimens in sea water medium | 133-134 |
| 5.37 | (a) Relation between carbon equivalent and weld specimens; (b) Relation between chemical composition (carbon, silicon, manganese & sulphur) and weld specimens | 137 |
| 5.38 | Microhardness plots for base metal, weld metal and heat-affected zone for different weld specimens | 138-140 |
| 5.39 | Relation between average microhardness vs. carbon equivalent for weld specimens | 140 |
| 5.40 | Microstructure of various weld as well as heat-affected zone (HAZ) specimens at 100X magnification | 141-143 |
| 5.41 | Impact toughness behaviour of base metal and weld specimens at in (a) weld region and (b) HAZ region | 144 |
| 5.42 | Relation between impact toughness behaviour with carbon equivalent of base metal and weld specimens in (a) weld as well as HAZ region at room temperature (b) weld as well as HAZ region at -65° C | 145 |
| 5.43 | (a) Relation between yield strength as well as ultimate tensile strength for weld specimens; (b) percentage elongation for weld specimens | 146-147 |
| 5.44 | Force vs. displacement graphs for (a) base metal; (b) F3RA weld joint; (c) F15B weld joint | 147 |
| 5.45 | Visual examination of specimens before immersion into the solution | 148 |
| 5.46 | Visual examination of specimens after immersion into the test solution | 148 |
| 5.47 | Microstructure analysis of hydrogen induced base as well as weld metal specimens | 149-150 |
| 5.48 | (a-c) Tafel plots of different weld specimens in sodium thiosulphate and sea water medium | 151-152 |