

List of Figures

- 3.1 Leggett-Garg function K_3 plotted against energy for DUNE (top), NO ν A (middle) and T2K (bottom) experimental set-ups for different values of the CP violating phase δ . The left and right panels correspond to the initial neutrino and antineutrino state, respectively. The sign of Δ_{31} is taken to be positive. The time can be identified with the length (baseline) which is 1300 km, 810 km and 295 km for DUNE, NO ν A and T2K, respectively. 30
- 3.2 The LG parameter K_3 plotted for different values of the matter density $\rho = 2.6/2.8/2.9g/cm^3$ with the matter potential $A = A(\rho)$ in dependence of the energy E and including the known errors for the other parameters, i.e. the three mixing angles θ_{ij} and the three mass differences Δ_{ij} given in Ref. [255]. Mean values of the three mixing angles θ_{ij} and the three mass differences Δ_{ij} are taken according to Ref. [255] as well. The (dotted) curves correspond to the mean values for which the lower and upper possible value for the errors were computed numerically. A length L of 1300 km and a CP violating phase δ of 0 are considered. 31
- 3.3 DUNE: Maximum of K_3 plotted against CP violating phase δ in the presence of matter effects ($\rho = 2.8g/cm^3$). Dotted and crossed curves correspond to the positive and negative signs of Δ_{31} , respectively. Length L is equal to 1300 km and the neutrino energy E is varied between 2 GeV to 10 GeV. The corresponding range of \tilde{E} is 1 to 5 GeV. The left and right panels correspond to neutrinos (positive mass density $+A$ and positive CP violating phase δ) and anti-neutrinos ($-A, -\delta$), respectively. The horizontal dashed lines highlight the lower and upper bounds of LGI with normal and inverted mass hierarchy in DUNE. 32
- 3.4 T2K and NO ν A: Maximum of the parameter K_3 plotted vs CP violating phase δ for T2K (left panel) and NO ν A (right panel). Dotted and crossed curves correspond to the positive and negative signs of Δ_{31} , respectively. Length L is 295 km and 810 km for T2K and for NO ν A, respectively. The energy E is varied between 1 GeV to 2 GeV for T2K with \tilde{E} between 0.1 GeV to 1 GeV, while for NO ν A E is taken between 1.5 GeV to 5 GeV with \tilde{E} between 0.1 GeV to 3 GeV. In case of T2K, the region between the dashed horizontal lines, is not able to distinguish the normal and inverted mass hierarchy. 32
- 3.5 Information deficit $\mathcal{D}^{[3]}(\phi)$ plotted against dimensionless parameter $\phi(= \frac{\Delta_{21}L}{2hcE})$ for *two flavor* approximation in vacuum and three measurements made at t_0, t_1 and t_2 ($t_0 < t_1 < t_2$). The negative values of $\mathcal{D}^{[3]}(\phi)$ correspond to the violation of ELGI. The values of the mixing angle θ_{12} and mass squared difference Δ_{21} are chosen to be 33.48° and $7.5 \times 10^{-5} eV^2$, respectively. The result is independent of the initial state chosen, since the survival and oscillation probabilities have same form irrespective of the initial state. The maximum negative value (measure of the strength of violation) acquired by $\mathcal{D}^{[3]}(\phi)$ is -0.1193 34

- 3.6 Three flavor scenario in vacuum. Information deficit $\mathcal{D}_{\nu_x}^{[3]}(\phi)(x = e, \mu, \tau)$ plotted against dimensionless parameter $\phi(= (\frac{\Delta_{21}L}{2\hbar cE}))$. The various neutrino parameters used are as: $\theta_{12} = 33.48^\circ$, $\theta_{13} = 8.50^\circ$, $\theta_{23} = 42.3^\circ$, $\Delta_{21} = 7.5 \times 10^{-5} eV^2$, $\Delta_{32} = \Delta_{31} = 2.457 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$. Left, middle and right figures correspond to the cases with initial state ν_e , ν_μ and ν_τ , respectively. The maximum negative value of the information difference is a measure of the strength of the entropic violation and in this case, turn out to be $Min[\mathcal{D}_e^{[3]}(\phi)] \approx -0.2196$ at $\phi \approx 5.7527$ radians, $Min[\mathcal{D}_\mu^{[3]}(\phi)] \approx -0.2151$ at $\phi \approx 5.7527$ radians, $Min[\mathcal{D}_\tau^{[3]}(\phi)] \approx -0.2189$ at $\phi \approx 5.7527$ radians. 35
- 3.7 Information difference $\mathcal{D}^{[n]}(\phi)$ plotted against dimensionless parameter ϕ for different values of n , the number of observations made on the system. The left and right panels correspond to the two and three flavor cases, in vacuum, respectively. It is clear that, as the number of measurements n increases, the information difference becomes more and more negative. In other words, the maximum negative value of $\mathcal{D}^{[n]}(\phi)$ increases with the increase in the number of measurements. The subscript ν_e shows that the initial state for the three flavor case is chosen to be $|\nu_e\rangle$. 35
- 3.8 Information deficit $\mathcal{D}^{[3]}$ as a function of neutrino energy in three flavor scenario of neutrino oscillation. Left, middle and right plots correspond to $NO\nu A$, T2K and Daya-Bay experiments, respectively. Solid and dashed curves show the variation of $\mathcal{D}^{[3]}$ in matter and vacuum, respectively. The baseline for $NO\nu A$ experiments is 810 km and the energy of the neutrinos varies between 0.5 GeV to 10 GeV. For T2K experiment, the neutrinos pass through a baseline of 295 km with the energy upto 2 GeV. While as in Daya-Bay experiment, neutrino energy is of the order of few MeVs. It is clear that the matter effect is prominent in long baseline and high energy experiments like $NO\nu A$ than in the small baseline and low energy experiments (T2K and Daya-Bay). The initial flavor in both the accelerator experiments $NO\nu A$ and T2K is ν_μ , while in the reactor Daya-Bay experiment, the initial state is the electron anti-neutrino $\bar{\nu}_e$ 36
- 3.9 Wigner form of LGI (bottom panel) (Eq. (3.31)) in neutrino system for different experimental set ups viz., T2K (left), $NO\nu A$ (middle) and DUNE (right), plotted with respect to the neutrino energy (E_n) in GeV. The baseline of 295 km, 810 km and 1300 km are used for T2K, $NO\nu A$ and DUNE experiments, respectively. The CP violating parameter $\delta = 0$ and the matter density parameter $A \approx 1.01 \times 10^{-13} eV$ 36
- 3.10 Clauser-Horne form of Legget-Garg inequality, Eq.(3.32), in neutrino system for different experimental set ups viz., T2K (left), $NO\nu A$ (middle) and DUNE (right). The quantity CH_Q is plotted with respect to the neutrino energy E_n and the CP violating phase δ 36
- 3.11 Clauser-Horne form of Legget-Garg inequality in neutrino system for energy and baseline corresponding to different experimental set ups viz., T2K (left), $NO\nu A$ (middle) and DUNE (right). The quantity CH'_Q given by Eq. (3.33) is plotted with respect to the neutrino energy E_n . The presence of term $\mathcal{P}_{\tau\mu}$ makes the experimental verification of this quantity difficult in contrast to the scenario depicted by Eq. (3.32). 37

- 3.12 The left, middle and right panels of the figure depict the LG function K_3 plotted *w.r.t* the dimensionless quantity $\Delta t/\tau$ for the K , B_d and B_s mesons, respectively. Here Δt is the time between successive measurements and τ is the mean lifetime of respective mesons. Dashed and solid curves correspond to the cases with and without decoherence, respectively. For the K system, the mean lifetime is $\tau_K = 1.7889 \times 10^{-10} s$. Also, $\Gamma = 5.59 \times 10^9 s^{-1}$, $\Delta\Gamma = 1.1174 \times 10^{10} s^{-1}$, $\lambda = 2.0 \times 10^8 s^{-1}$ and $\Delta m = 5.302 \times 10^9 s^{-1}$ [265]. Here use has been made of $Re(\epsilon) = 1.596 \times 10^{-3}$ and $|\epsilon| = 2.228 \times 10^{-3}$ [266]. For the B_d system, $\tau_{B_d} = 1.518 \times 10^{-12} s$, $\Gamma = 6.58 \times 10^{11} s^{-1}$, $\Delta\Gamma = 0$, $\lambda = 0.012 \times 10^{12} s^{-1}$ and $\Delta m = 0.5064 \times 10^{12} s^{-1}$ [267]. The CP violating parameter used here is $|\frac{q}{p}| = 1.010$ [267]. Finally, for the B_s meson, $\tau_{B_s} = 1.509 \times 10^{-12} s$, $\Gamma = 0.6645 \times 10^{12} s^{-1}$, $\Delta\Gamma = 0.086 \times 10^{12} s^{-1}$, $\lambda = 0.012 \times 10^{12} s^{-1}$ and $\Delta m = 17.757 \times 10^{12} s^{-1}$ [267]. The value of the CP violating parameter here is $\frac{q}{p} = 1.003$ [267]. As we do not have any experimental bound on the decoherence parameter λ for the B_s system, we assume it to be the same as that of the B_d system. 39
- 3.13 Plot of the difference of LG-function K_3 and LG-type function \tilde{K}_3 in the case of K meson system. The various parameters used are the same as in Fig. 3.12. 40
- 3.14 The non-measurable term $\mathcal{D}_K = |(1 + \epsilon)/(1 - \epsilon)|^2 e^{-2\Gamma\Delta t} (e^{-2\lambda\Delta t} - 1)$ for K -meson system and $\mathcal{D}_{Bd(s)} = |p/q|^2 e^{-2\Gamma\Delta t} (e^{-2\lambda\Delta t} - 1)$ for $B_{d(s)}$ -meson system, plotted against $\Delta t/\tau_{K/B_{d(s)}}$. The various parameters used in the two cases are the same as mentioned in the caption of Fig. 3.12. 40
- 4.1 Behavior of decoherence rate with respect to time t (unit of t is *second* throughout this section) for RTN (left) and with respect to p , a dimensionless parameter, for NMD (right) channels, respectively. The non-Markovian dynamics is implied by $d\Lambda/dt > 0$ ($\delta < 0$). In case of RTN, the solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to non-Markovian ($a = 0.05, \gamma = 0.001$) and Markovian ($a = 0.05, \gamma = 1$) cases, respectively. Further, for RTN, the magnitude of the dashed (red) curve is increased ten times. The Markovian and non-Markovian regimes are separated by a singularity (vertical line) for the NMD channel. 43
- 4.2 RTN noise model: Fisher information flow corresponding to the parameters θ (left) and ϕ (right), as defined in Eqs. (4.23) and (4.24), respectively, are plotted with respect to time. Solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to the non-Markovian ($a = 0.07, \gamma = 0.001$) and Markovian ($a = 0.07, \gamma = 1$) cases, respectively. The state variable θ is chosen to be $\pi/4$. The magnitude of the dashed (red) curve is increased five times. 44
- 4.3 Fisher information flow \mathcal{F}_α ($\alpha = \theta, \phi$), as defined in Eqs.(4.25) and (4.26), for non-Markovian dephasing dynamics. Non-Markovian dynamics is implied by $\mathcal{F}_\alpha > 0$. The parameters used are $\theta = \pi/4$ and $\alpha = 0.7$ 45
- 4.4 Coherence parameter C (left panel) and mixedness parameter \mathcal{M} (right panel) for a qubit under RTN evolution. The solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to non-Markovian and Markovian cases, respectively. Here, we have used $\theta = \pi/2$. The values of a and γ are the same as used in Fig. 4.2. 45
- 4.5 Average gate fidelity G_{av} parameter (left) and Holevo quantity χ_H (right) in RTN case. The solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to non-Markovian and Markovian cases, respectively. The parameters a and γ are as given in Fig. 4.2. The state parameter $\theta = \pi/2$ 46

4.6	Interplay between coherence (C) and mixedness (\mathcal{M}) quantified by the parameter $\beta = C^2 + \mathcal{M}$, Eq. (4.6). Left and right panel corresponds to RTN and NMD cases, respectively. For RTN, $a = 0.5$, $\gamma = 0.001$ (non-Markovian case, similar trade-off is observed in Markovian case, not displayed here) and $\theta = \pi/2$, while for NMD the channel, $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\theta = \pi/4$	48
4.7	Non-Markovina Dephasing (NMD) channel. Showing (a) coherence parameter (b) mixedness parameter (c) average gate fidelity and (d) Holevo quantity. The state parameters used are $\theta = \pi/4$ and $\phi = 0$, and the channel parameter $\alpha = 0.5$	48
4.8	Schematic diagram for (a) Two level atom interacting with a squeezed thermal bath at temperature T with squeezing parameter s . The transition frequency between the two levels is ω_0 . (b) Testing the LGtIs using the statistics of two experiments, with the same preparation state, $ g\rangle$, at time $t_0 = 0$. The dichotomic observable $\hat{\mathcal{M}} = g\rangle\langle g - e\rangle\langle e $ would lead to $+1$ if the atom is found in ground state and -1 otherwise. For example, at t_0 , we have $\langle \hat{\mathcal{M}} \rangle = +1$	51
4.9	Probability of finding the atom in ground state at time t , in the units with $\hbar = k_B = 1$. Here, $R = \gamma_0/\Omega$ is the ratio of the spontaneous emission to the Rabi frequency. With squeezing parameter $s = 0$ and transition frequency $\omega_0 = 0.5$, the values $R = 0, 0.05$ and 5 correspond to $\mu_s = 1, 0.9$ (underdamped) and $0.7i$ (overdamped), respectively.	52
4.10	Evolution of the LG parameters K_+ (left), K_- (middle) and coherence parameter C (right). Here, $\beta = 10$, $\omega_0 = 0.5$, $s = 0$, such that $R = 0, 0.05$ and 5 correspond to $\mu_s = 1, 0.9$ (underdamped) and $0.7i$ (overdamped) cases, respectively. The violation of LGtIs occur predominantly in underdamped regime such that K_{\pm} reach their quantum bound $3/2$ as $R \rightarrow 0$. The coherence parameter shows exponentially damped oscillations in underdamped regime, while in overdamped case, it monotonically saturates to its stationary value.	53
4.11	Complementary behavior of LG parameters K_{\pm} in the strong driving limit. The various parameters used are $\beta = 10$, $\omega_0 = 0.5$, $s = 0$, $R = 0.005$, pertaining to the underdamped regime.	55
4.12	Temperature dependence of LG parameter K_+ . With $\omega_0 = 0.5$, $s = 0$ and $R = 0.005$, the values $\beta = 10, 1$ and 10^{-3} correspond to $\mu_s = 1, 0.9$ (underdamped) and $4.8i$ (overdamped), respectively.	55
4.13	The LG parameter K_+ for different values of the squeezing parameter s . Here, $\beta = 100$, $\omega_0 = 0.5$, $R = 0.05$. Further, $s = 0, 1$ and 3.5 correspond to $\mu_s = 1, 0.9$ (underdamped) and $6.7i$ (overdamped), respectively.	56
4.14	Variation of LG parameter K_+ with respect to t and ξ . With $\beta = 5$, $\omega_0 = 0.5$ and $s = 0$, we have $R = 0$ ($\mu_s \approx 1$) depicted by blue plane-surface, $R = 0.05$ ($\mu_s \approx 0.9$) represented by yellow lined-surface. Both these correspond to underdamped case. The maximum violation corresponds to $\xi = 1$, the ideal projective measurement.	57
4.15	RTN channel: The quantumness $Q(\Phi^{RTN})$ Eq. (4.63) and <i>average</i> fidelity \mathcal{F}_{RTN} Eq. (4.67), plotted with respect to time t (sec.), for a qubit subjected to RTN. The solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to non-Markovian ($b = 0.05$, $\gamma = 0.001$) and Markovian ($b = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$) cases, respectively. The fidelity oscillates symmetrically about $2/3$ in non-Markovian case, while in Markovian case, it decreases monotonically and saturates to this value.	60
4.16	NMD channel: The quantumness $Q(\Phi^{NMD})$ Eq. (4.69) and <i>average</i> fidelity \mathcal{F}_{NMD} Eq. (4.70), plotted with respect to the dimensionless parameter κt , for a qubit subjected to NMD, for different values of parameter α	60

4.17	PD channel: The quantumness $Q(\Phi^{PD})$ Eq. (4.72) and <i>average</i> fidelity \mathcal{F}_{PD} Eq. (4.73), plotted with respect to the dimensionless quantity χt , for a qubit subjected to PD noise.	61
4.18	GAD channel: The quantumness $Q(\Phi^{GAD})$ Eq. (4.75) and fidelity \mathcal{F}_{GAD} Eq. (4.76), plotted with respect to time t (sec.), for a qubit subjected to GAD noise. With $\gamma = 1$, the left and right panels correspond to the cases when $n = 50$ and 0 , respectively. Here, $\tau \approx 0.1246$ and 0.3646 in the former and later case, respectively. The $n = 0$ case corresponds to the zero temperature limit, such that GAD reduces to AD noise.	61
4.19	Unruh channel: The behavior of quantumness and average fidelity depicted with respect to the acceleration a (in units $\hbar = c = 1$).	62
4.20	Eigenvalues λ_k ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) of the Choi matrix $\mathbf{B}_{pr;qs}(t, t/2)$. Negative eigenvalues indicate that the map is NCP.	71
4.21	A measurement of a dichotomic observable on $\rho(t)$ would be followed by two possible dynamics depending on its outcome. The map Φ (Eq. (4.105)) would be replaced by Φ^+ and Φ^- (Eq. (4.112)) depending on whether the outcome is $+1$ or -1 , respectively.	71
4.22	Left: Leggett-Garg parameter as defined in Eq. (4.113), with correlation functions given by Eq. (4.115). Solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to the state variables $\theta_s = \pi/2, \phi_s = 0$ and $\theta_s = \pi, \phi_s = 0$, respectively. The measurement parameters used are $\theta = \pi/4, \phi = 0$. The right plot shows the variation of K_3 with respect to time as well as the measurement variable θ (with $\phi = 0$). The state variables used in this case are $\theta_s = \pi/2, \phi_s = 0$	74
5.1	The schematic representation of the model consisting of a cavity embedded with two ensembles of two-level atoms. The left ensemble S_A , with the excitation mode \hat{A} , is driven by an external field of frequency ω_f . The system is studied in configurations: Antinode-Antinode (AA), Antinode-Node (AN), Node-Antinode (NA) and Node-Node (NN). The left ensemble (the driven ensemble), the right ensemble (the undriven ensemble), and the cavity field interact with their independent reservoirs R_1, R_2 and R_3 with modes represented by corresponding annihilation operators \hat{m}, \hat{n} , and \hat{f} , respectively.	80
5.2	Average number of cavity photons and excitations corresponding to the two ensembles, studied with respect to the dimensionless parameter Δt . (a) and (b) correspond to AN (left ensemble at Antinode and the right ensemble at Node) and NA (left ensemble at Node and the right ensemble at Antinode) configurations, respectively. The average number of excitations corresponding to the driven ensemble ($\langle A^\dagger A \rangle$), the undriven ensemble ($\langle B^\dagger B \rangle$) and the average cavity photon number ($\langle C^\dagger C \rangle$) is depicted for $\chi = 0$ and $\chi = 0.2\Delta$. All the quantities shown in the plots are dimensionless.	84
5.3	Mandel parameter with respect to the dimensionless parameter Δt . Figures (a) and (b) correspond to AN and NA configurations, respectively. The nonclassical nature of the field corresponding to the mode α is confirmed by $Q_M(\alpha) < 0$	85
5.4	Showing intermodal antibunching parameter $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ for modes α and β , plotted with respect to the parameter Δt . (a) and (b) correspond to AN and NA configurations, respectively. The existence of intermodal antibunching is confirmed if $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} < 0$	86
5.5	Single mode squeezing, as defined in Eqs. (2.47) and (2.48), plotted with respect to the dimensionless parameter Δt . Sub-figures (a)-(d), (b)-(e) and (c)-(f) correspond to modes \hat{A}, \hat{B} and \hat{C} , respectively. The top and bottom panels pertain to the AN and NA configurations, respectively. It is clear that the application of the external field to the driven ensemble (\hat{A}), that is, the non-zero value of χ , enhances the squeezing in the respective quadratures of a particular mode.	87

5.6	Showing squeezing parameter $(\Delta X_A)^2$ for mode \hat{A} as a function of the driving field strength χ as well as the dimensionless parameter Δt . The enhancing effect in quadrature squeezing as a result of increase in the strength of the driving field is observed.	88
5.7	Showing inter-modal squeezing, defined by Eq. (2.49a), with respect to Δt . (a) and (b) show the squeezing in compound mode $\hat{A}\hat{B}$, in AN and NA configurations, respectively. One finds enhancement in the intermodal squeezing as a result of driving ensemble (\hat{A}) by the application of the external field.	89
5.8	Showing Duan separability parameter against Δt . Figures (a) and (b) correspond to AN and NA configurations, respectively. The sufficient condition for inseparability is implied by $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta} < 0$, for modes α and β	90
5.9	Hillery Zubairy criteria, as defined in Eqs. (2.51) and (2.52), plotted against the dimensionless parameter Δt for modes \hat{A} and \hat{B} . The figures (a), (b), (c) and (d) correspond to the AA, AN, NA and NN configurations, respectively. The negative values of the Hillery Zubairy parameters (HZPs), viz., \mathcal{E}_{AB} and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{AB}$ provide the sufficient condition for the entanglement between the corresponding modes. The nonzero value of χ makes HZPs more negative at certain points, and hence, enhance the entanglement between the corresponding modes.	91
5.10	Steering criteria as a function of Δt for modes \hat{A} and \hat{B} . The figures (a), (b), (c) and (d) correspond to the AA, AN, NA and NN. Steering is confirmed if $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta} < 0$, for modes α and β	92
5.11	Biseparability criteria as a function of Δt . Top and bottom panels correspond to AN and NA configurations, respectively. The nonseparability of modes α , β and γ is implied by the satisfaction of either or both of the inequalities $E_{\alpha\beta \gamma} < 0$ and $E'_{\alpha\beta \gamma} < 0$	93
6.1	The model. Two cavities bearing modes a_1 and a_2 coupled through coupling constant g are also interacting with baths B_1 and B_2 , respectively. The baths cause gain γ_1 and loss γ_2 in the first and second cavity, respectively.	98
6.2	The real part of the eigenvalues λ_{\pm} is plotted as a function of the coupling strength g and the gain (loss) rate γ . The points where the two eigenvalues coalesce are called as exceptional points. In (a), the blue (solid) and red (dashed) curves correspond to λ_+ and λ_- , respectively.	100
6.3	Average photon number $n_{a_1} = \langle a_1^\dagger(t)a_1(t) \rangle$ (solid blue curve) and $n_{a_2} = \langle a_2^\dagger(t)a_2(t) \rangle$ (dashed red curve) with respect to the dimensionless parameter gt for PTS (left panel) and PTSB (right panel) cases. The value of γ is $0.5g$ and $1.1g$ corresponding to the PTS and PTSB regimes, respectively. The input states are: (a)-(b) Vacuum state $ 00\rangle$; (c)-(d) Coherent state $ \alpha_1\alpha_2\rangle$, with $\alpha_k = r_k e^{i\theta_k}$ for $k = 1, 2$ and coherent state parameters $r_1 = r_2 = 1$, $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \pi/4$; (e)-(f) NOON state $(10\rangle + 01\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$; (g)-(h) Thermal state $\rho_0 = (1 - e^{-\beta})^2 \exp[-\beta(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2)]$ with $\beta = \hbar\omega/kT$. Here, we have chosen $\beta = 1$	102
6.4	Zeno parameter as defined in Eq. (6.22), ζ_{a_1} (blue surface) and ζ_{a_2} (red surface) with input state as vacuum (a), NOON state $(10\rangle + 01\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ (b), and thermal state (c). In all the cases, the lossy mode (a_2) shows the QAZE while the gain mode (a_1) shows the QZE. Here, we have chosen coupling strength $g = 1$, so that $\gamma < 1$ and $\gamma > 1$ correspond to PTS and PTSB regimes, respectively.	104

6.5	Zeno parameter as defined in Eq. (6.22) with input state as coherent state $ r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}\rangle$ for $r_1 = r_2 = 1$. In (a), $\theta_1 = \pi$, $\theta_2 = -\pi/4$. The blue and red surfaces correspond to ζ_{a_1} and ζ_{a_2} , respectively. Here, the coupling strength between the cavities $g = 1$. (b) Variation with respect to the relative phase parameter $\Delta\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. The color scheme is as follows: blue for ζ_{a_1} , red for ζ_{a_2} with $\gamma = 0.5g$, that is, PTS regime; green for ζ_{a_1} and gray for ζ_{a_2} with $\gamma = 1.5g$, PTSB regime. The parameter $\Delta\theta$ decides which of the two modes (a_1 or a_2) would show the QZE/QAZE. The maxima and minima in the plot occur at $\Delta\theta = \pi/2, 3\pi/2$	105
6.6	Intermodal antibunching with input state as coherent state $ \alpha_1, \alpha_2\rangle$ (a) and NOON state $(10\rangle + 01\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ (b). In the former $\alpha_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$ and $\alpha_2 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$ with $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = 2$, $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \pi/2$. The nonclassical behavior corresponds to $\mathcal{A}(a_1 a_2) < 0$. The behavior in PTS regime ($\gamma < g$) is very different from the PTSB regime ($\gamma > g$).	105
6.7	Sum squeezing parameter $V(a_1, a_2)$ (a) and difference squeezing parameter $W(a_1, a_2)$ (b), as defined in Eqs. (6.25) and (6.26), plotted against dimensionless parameter γt with vacuum as the initial state. A state is sum (difference) squeezed if $V(a_1, a_2) < 0$ ($W(a_1, a_2) < 0$). Here, we used $\phi = \pi/4$	106
6.8	Schematic illustration of three-level atom.	110
6.9	Top plot depicts the real (lined-blue surface) and imaginary (plane-red surface) parts of the eigenvalues. Both real and imaginary eigenvalues occur in pairs symmetrically about $\text{Re}[E_{\pm}] = \text{Im}[E_{\pm}] = 0$. Bottom panel, presented here for clarity, depicts the real eigenvalues with respect to the parameters γ and Ω for different values of parameter ϕ	111
6.10	MID ($Q(\rho_{out})$), Concurrence ($C(\rho_{out})$) and Negativity ($N(\rho_{out})$) of the output state given in Eq. (6.29). The effective coupling between two levels is $J = 1 - \Omega e^{i\phi} $ and the gain/loss rate is γ . The conditions $J > \gamma$ and $J < \gamma$ correspond to PTS and PTB regimes, respectively. The non-classical features are enhanced in PTS regime, that is, when system coupling dominates the gain/loss rate. This is in consonance with the results of our previous work [129].	112
6.11	Beam-splitter (BS) with input states ρ_{in} and $\rho_{vac}(= 0\rangle\langle 0)$. The output ports are subjected to a <i>channel</i> , leading to the final output state ρ_{out} . The channel parameters are, in general different, unless stated otherwise.	113
6.12	Showing concurrence with respect to time when the state parameter $p = 1$ which corresponds to the input state $ 1\rangle$ at the beam-splitter. Left, middle and right plots correspond to RTN, PD and AD channels, respectively. The solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves in the left plot pertain to non-Markovian and Markovian processes, respectively.	115
6.13	MID ($Q(\rho_{out})$), as defined in Eq. (6.32), is plotted as a function of time when the output ports of the beam-splitter are subjected to RTN noise in non-Markovian (top-left) and Markovian (top-right) regimes, respectively. Same quantity is shown for PD channel (bottom-left) and AD channel (bottom-right). Solid-thin (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to PTS and PTB phases, respectively; with the input state given in Eq. (6.41). Dot-dashed (green) curve depicts the behavior at exceptional points with the input state given in Eq. (6.42). Solid-thick (black) curve corresponds to the case when the state evolves under Hermitian Hamiltonian. The input state in this case is given in Eq. (6.45).	116
6.14	Concurrence as a function of time when the output ports of the beam-splitter are subjected to RTN noise in non-Markovian (top-left) and Markovian (top-right) regimes, respectively. Same quantity is shown for PD channel (bottom-left) and AD channel (bottom-right). The nomenclature for various curves is the same as in Fig. 6.13.	117

6.15	Negativity as a function of time when the output ports of the beam-splitter are subjected to RTN noise in non-Markovian (left) and Markovian (right) regimes, respectively. The nomenclature for various curves is the same as in Fig. 6.13. . . .	117
6.16	Schematic of a three level Λ type atom. The parameters g , G and J correspond to the coupling strengths between the levels as shown. Levels $ \psi_1\rangle$ and $ \psi_3\rangle$ are assumed to have equal gain and loss rate γ . Level $ \psi_1\rangle$ and $ \psi_3\rangle$ are connected by RF-field and simultaneously to $ \psi_2\rangle$ by two optical field modes.	120
6.17	Coherence given in Eq. (6.61) as a function of dimensionless parameters $\alpha = \gamma/J$ and $\tau = Jt$. The coherence attains maximum value at the EP, i.e., at $\alpha = 1$	122
6.18	Standard LGIs as defined in Eqs. (6.64), and Wigner (inequality(6.65)) form of LGI plotted with respect to the dimensionless parameter $\tau = Jt$ and α . The plots in the bottom panel brings out, in a more clear manner, the behavior at the EP, i.e., $\alpha = 1$. At this point all the formulations of LGI achieve their algebraic maximum. .	123