

## List of Figures

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>page</i>
1.1	Modified EWGSOP algorithm to detect sarcopenia	2
1.2	The relationship of the thesis chapters with the EWGSOP2 algorithm for sarcopenia diagnosis	7
2.1	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow chart of study selection [17]	12
3.1	Power vs OLS (left) and TUG (right)	25
3.2	Power vs gait velocity (left) and grip strength (right)	26
3.3	Peak torque versus 5STS performance for knee extension (left) and knee flexion (right)	29
3.4	Peak torque versus 30STS performance for knee extension (left) and knee flexion (right)	29
3.5	Total work versus 5STS performance for knee extension (left) and knee flexion (right)	30
3.6	Total work versus 305STS performance for knee flexion (left) and knee extension (right)	30
4.1	Sigmoid function of logistic regression	36
4.2	Loss function	37
4.3	Support Vector Machine optimal hyperplane example	38
5.1	(a) Placement of the load cells in a cross with the distances on the seat of the chair. The distance between the load cells is 31cm along front, back and both sides of the chair. (b) The load cells are covered by an additional piece of wood on which people can sit. (c) The ADC card attached behind the chair.	48
5.2	Signals obtained from the load cells on the instrumented chair	48
5.3	Data from a single STS plotted versus assumed 80 Hz sampling frequency (left) and the CPU time-stamps (right)	49
5.4	Data from the chair (left) and force plate (right) with the feet on the force plate and the chair on the ground.	51
5.5	Identification of a single STS for the chair (left) and force plate (right) with the feet on the force plate and the chair on the ground	51
5.6	Identification of a single STS for the chair (left) and force plate (right) with the feet on the force plate and the chair on the ground	52
5.7	Erroneous reading from the chair for subject 1(slow).	54
5.8	Comparisons between the different measurement methods.	54
5.9	Detection of trunk angle during the STS using an instrumented chair with infrared sensors	55
6.1	Interface with load cell	59
6.2	The 15-segment model of a pose used to estimate the STS	60
6.3	Example of pose estimation during the STS movement	61
6.4	Calculation of STS time and STS phases for RGB camera (a), Kinect (b), force plate (c) and chair (d).	62
6.5	Example recording from the instrumented chair during the 5STS test.	63
6.6	Limits of agreement between expert STS time and the four systems; (a) RGB Camera; (b) Kinect; (c) Force plate; (d) Chair.	65
7.1	Sit-to-Stand Phases	71

7.2	Pose Feature Extracted from Stacked Hourglass Deep Pose library Newell et al. [2016] with 16 joint points : head, neck, left shoulder, left elbow, left wrist, right shoulder right elbow, right writ, pelvis, left hip, left knee, left ankle, right hip, right knee, right ankle	72
7.3	Instrumented Chair	73
7.4	Sample frames of elderly	74
7.5	Comparison of Segmentation Accuracy of three techniques for 15 elderly subjects	75
7.6	Qualitative comparison of the three techniques towards individual phase detection of Sit-to-Stand Test; Different colors illustrate the 6 phases; GT: Ground Truth, C+R - fusion of chair and RGB	76
7.7	Chair with Ultrasonic sensor	78
7.8	Phases of the Sit-to-Stand movement	79
7.9	Phases of the Sit-to-Stand movement	79
7.10	Qualitative comparison of the three techniques for phase detection of the STS. GT: Ground Truth, US: Ultrasound; LC: Load Cell.	80
7.11	Comparison of Segmentation Accuracy of three techniques for 15 elderly subjects for 4 phases	81