List of Figures

Figure	Title	page
1.1	A general framework of image hashing system.	3
1.2	A framework of image authentication using perceptual hash function.	7
1.3	A framework of content identification using perceptual hash function.	8
1.4	A General framework for image encryption and decryption.	9
1.5	A typical framework of secret key cryptosystem.	9
1.6	A typical framework public key cryptosystem.	10
1.7	a,e) Original images; b,f) Encrypted Images using raster; c,g) Encrypted Images using	
	Zig-zag scan; d,h) Encrypted Images using hilbert scan.	11
1.8	Histograms of the original images and their encrypted versions.	12
1.9	A general framework for watermarking system.	14
1.10	Trade-off between the different characteristics of watermarking system.	15
1.11	Classification of watermarking techniques.	18
1.12	Experimental Images: a,e) Original images; b,d) Watermark images; e,g) Invisible wa-	
	termarked images; d,h) Visible watermarked images.	19
2.1	Lifting wavelet decomposition and reconstruction	28
2.2	First-level lifting wavelet decomposition of an image and corresponding different sub-band.	29
2.3	Working process of arnold transformation	33
2.4	An illustration of the log-polar mapping as defined in Eqn. 2.26.	34
3.1	Experimental Images: (a) Cameraman, (b) Lena, (c) Barbara, (d) Goldhill, (e) Boat.	40
3.2	Distribution of normalized hamming distances: (a) Rotation, (b) Scaling, (c) Gaussian	
	noise addition and (d) JPEG compression	41
3.3	Performance of various hashing schemes: (a) Rotation, (b) Scaling, (c) Gaussian noise	
	addition, (d) JPEG compression.	42
3.4	(a) Original image, (b,c,d) Maliciously modified images.	43
3.5	Distance between hash using wrong keys	43
4.1	Robustness and comparative analysis of the proposed hashing technique under differ-	
	ent distortions: (a) Additive Gaussian noise, (b) Salt & pepper noise, (c) Image blurring,	
	(d) Average Filtering, (e) Median Filtering (f) Rotation, (g) Scaling, (h) Shearing, (i) Con-	
	trast Adjustment, (j) Brightness, (k) JPEG Compression, (I) SPIHT Compression.	51
4.2	Distribution of normalized hamming distance for visually identical image pairs.	52
4.3	Distribution of normalized hamming distance for perceptually different image pairs.	53
4.4	The normalized hamming distance between true hash sequence and randomly gener-	
	ated binary sequence	54
4.5	Original image and corresponding maliciously modified version	55
4.6	Comparison of ROC curve of different existing techniques	57
5.1	Experimental Images:(a-e) Fingerprint images, (f-j) Original medical image, (k-o) En-	
	crypted medical images, (p-t) Decrypted medical images.	66
5.2	Edge images detected by the Canny edge detector with different thresholds: (a) Orig-	
	inal image. (b) t = [0, 0.1]. (c) t = [0.1, 0.2], (d) t = [0.2, 0.3].	69

5.3	Amplitude spectra of Original Images (left column), Encrypted Images (middle column),	
	Decrypted Images (right column).	71
5.4	Correlation distribution of horizontal pixels in: Original Images (left column), Encrypted	
	Images (middle column), Decrypted Images (right column).	72
5.5	Experimental Images: (a-y) Decrypted medical images; (a,g,m,s,y) Decrypted images	
	with true fingerprint images.	74
5.6	Objective metric with different fingerprint images and decrypted medical images- First	
J. C	column: Normalization coefficients; Second column: structural similarity; Third column:	
	Peak signal to noise ratio; Fourth column: Universal image quality index.	75
	reak signal to hoise ratio, router column. Onlyersal image quality index.	75
6.1	Experimental Images: (a, e, i, m) Host images, (b, f, j, n) Watermark images, (c, g, k, o)	
	Watermarked images, (d,h,l,p) Extracted watermarks.	80
6.2	Amplitude spectra of: (a,c) Host image, and (b,d) watermarked image.	82
6.3	Demonstration of attacked image: (a) Additive gaussian noise (mean=0, var=0.01), (b)	02
0.5	Salt & pepper noise (noise density=0.01), (c) Speckle noise (var=0.01), (d) Sharpening	
	(100%), (i) Histogram equalization, (j) Contrast adjustment (100%), (k) Gamma correction (100%), (ii) Province (100%), (iii) Province (100%), (iii) Province (100%), (iii) Province (100%), (iiii) Province (100%), (iii) Province (1	
	tion (gamma=5), (l) Resizing (512 \rightarrow 1536 \rightarrow 512), (q) Cropping (50% area), (r) JPEG com-	
	pression (10%), (s) High pass filter (7 \times 7), (t) Average blur (7 \times 7), (y) Swirl (35 %), (z)	
	Wrapping (70 %), (a1) Row deletion (20), (a2) Image tempering, II, IV, VI, VII row shows	
	the corresponding extracted watermark images.	83
6.4	Correlator response between: (a) Decimal sequence with true key and 100 wrong keys,	
	(b) Decimal sequence with true key and 100 random binary sequences.	89
6.5	Magnitude of correlation coefficients against attacks with: (a) wrong seed s, (b) wrong	
	primes $q11$ and $q21$, (c) wrong primes $q11$, $q12$ and $q22$, (d) wrong primes $q11$, $q12$, $q21$	
	and $q22$, (d) wrong seed and all wrong primes $q11$, $q12$, $q21$ and $q22$. (The nomenclature	
	of x-axis are depicted in Table-5)	90
6.6	Experimental Images: (a) Original watermark, (b) Scrambled watermark, (c) Recon-	
	structed watermark, (d) Extracted with wrong seed, (e) Extracted with wrong key $q11$,	
	(f) Extracted with wrong key $q12$, (g) Extracted with wrong key $q21$.	91
7.1	Particle in bistable double well system crossing the barrier from weak state to strong state.	94
7.2	(a,b,c,d,e) Original images; (f,g,h,i,j) Original watermarks; (k,l,m,n,o) Watermarked im-	
	ages; (p,q,r,s,t) Extracted watermark images.	102
7.3	Imperceptibility of the proposed technique via histogram.	104
7.4	Watermarked images exposed to different distortions: (a) Gaussian noise addition (90%);	
, ,	(b) Salt & Pepper Noise addition (60%); (c) Speckle noise addition (60%); (d) Gaussian	
	blurring (13 \times 13); (e) Average filtering (13 \times 13); (f) Median filtering (13 \times 13); (g) JPEG	
	compression (quality factor 90); (h) Sharpen (increased by 100%); (i) Histogram equal-	
	ization; (j) Contrast adjustment (decreased by 100%); (k) Gamma correction (γ =5); (l)	
	Cropping (50% area cropped); (m) Resizing (256 \rightarrow 64 \rightarrow 256); (n) Rotation (50°); (o)	
	Wrapping; (p) Swirl (80%). Wrapping; (p) Swirl (80%).	105
		103
7.5	Extracted watermark images after various distortions: (a) Gaussian noise addition (90%);	
	(b) Salt & Pepper Noise addition (60%); (c) Speckle noise addition (60%); (d) Gaussian	
	blurring (13 \times 13); (e) Average filtering (13 \times 13); (f) Median filtering (13 \times 13); (g) JPEG	
	compression (quality factor 90); (h) Sharpen (increased by 100%); (i) Histogram equal-	
	ization; (j) Contrast adjustment (decreased by 100%); (k) Gamma correction (γ =5); (l)	
	Cropping (50% area cropped); (m) Resizing (256 \rightarrow 64 \rightarrow 256); (n) Rotation (50°); (o)	
	Wrapping; (p) Swirl (80%).	108
7.6	Results for composite attacks (a) GNA+AF+HE; (b) extracted watermark; (c) MF+W+JPEG;	
	(d) extracted watermark; (e) MF+HE+RS; (f) extracted watermark; (g) SW+AF+JPEG+GNA;	
	(h) extracted watermark.	109
7.7	Illustration of the suitability of watermark images.	114