

Declaration

I hereby declare that the work presented in this Thesis titled *HELIOSTAT FIELD DESIGN AND RECEIVER PROFILE ESTIMATION USING OPTICAL RAY TRACING TECHNIQUES*, submitted to the Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, is a bonafide record of the research work carried out under the supervision of Dr. V. Narayanan, Department of Physics. The contents of this thesis in full or in parts, have not been submitted to, and will not be submitted by me to, any other Institute or University in India or abroad for the award of any degree or diploma.


Sanjoy Chatterjee 27/01/20

P14PH002

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis titled *HELIOSTAT FIELD DESIGN AND RECEIVER PROFILE ESTIMATION USING OPTICAL RAY TRACING TECHNIQUES*, submitted by Sanjoy Chatterjee(P14PH002) to the Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur for the award of the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy*, is a bonafide record of the research work done by him under my supervision. To the best of my knowledge, the contents of this report, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other Institute or University for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr.V.Narayanan

Ph.D. Thesis Supervisor

Acknowledgements

I express my gratitude to Ph.D. Thesis Supervisor, *Dr. V. Narayanan*, for introducing me to the area of concerned PhD research work and corresponding technical details of it together with its nuances. In the process, I have learnt many technical and non-technical aspects of professional work together with a very sincere understanding of difference between things which are to be done and which are not to be done. I am grateful to him for his help and patience. I feel indebted to the other members of the Doctoral Committee, *Dr Ambesh Dixit* , *Dr Ashutosh Kumar Alok* , *Dr Subhashish Banerjee* for their enthusiastic and continued guidance during the research work.

My stay at the Institute was a wonderful experience altogether because of friends like Javid Naikoo, Khushboo Dixit, Jyoti Saini, Rakesh Joshi , Shivanjali Saxena, Vandana Dahiya , Satish Sangwan , Vishwa Deepak Kumar , Swarn Kumar Rajput , Ravikant, Syed, Pankaj Singh and especially Meha Mishra. As I was not throughout blessed in my PhD time, my lab partner **Priya Malpani** played a very crucial and sensitive role, in the completion of this long journey. Thanking the entire lot (I sincerely apologize in case I missed any name. That was not at all intentional!) for all the liveliness they infused into the non-academic part of my days at IIT Jodhpur.

In that connection one name deserve a special mention. That of Pedamallu VVNSP Raju, who worked in the department as project fellow and henceforth introduced and assisted me in multiple variants regarding the use of the relevant software.

I acknowledge and thank my family for the patience and love which they ushered on me, and for bearing with me even when I was not spending much time with them. I pay respects to my parents and others for all their love, sacrifices and blessing.

Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE) and Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD), Govt. of India are acknowledged for financial and logistic support.

A very special mention in this regard, in its entirety, would be that to **DARK COFFEE!** Every time when I was in doubt, for more than one reason, the only permanent company and mental solution I had in this passage was **DARK COFFEE** (sometimes without sugar!)

Sanjoy Chatterjee

Ph.D. Student

List of Figures

Figures	Title	Page
1.1	Solar resources for CSP technologies	31
1.2	Solar resources for CSP technologies in India	32
2.1	Declination angle	37
2.2	Declination angle variation over the year	38
2.3	A pictorial view of latitude and longitude	39
2.4	Angles defining the solar position	40
2.5	Solar spectrum for visible radiation range	42
2.6	Solar Radiation effect on Earth	43
2.7	Earth Sun metric relation	43
2.8	Illustration of Eikonal equations	46
2.9	Ideal Exergy vs Optimal temperature curve	54
3.1	Solar spectrum	55
3.2	Skeleton of CSP	57
3.3	Parabolic troughs for solar collection	58
3.4	Fresnel reflector for solar collection	59
3.5	Conic section geometry	59
3.6	Solar tower including Heliostat for solar collection	60
3.7	Curvature on the Heliostat	61
3.8	Parabolic dish and collector	62
3.9	A Schematic similarity between Cassegrain model and Beam Down Optics model	63
3.10	A Schematic diagram of the BDO with inclined plane	64
3.11	A Schematic diagram of the BDO with a one sheet hyperboloid	65
3.12	A Schematic diagram of the BDO with a one sheet ellipsoid	66
3.13	Flux mapping	68
3.14	Radial Staggered Heliostat field setup	69
3.15	Cornfield Heliostat field setup	70
3.16	Heliostat field set for Sunflower field as symbolic dots	70
3.17	Proposed cavity receiver with cross-sectional flux profile	73
3.18	Bladed receiver	75
3.19	Shadow mapping	78
3.20	Shadow area on ground	78
3.21	Shadowing and blocking effect	79
3.22	Cosine effect	80
3.23	Spherical Aberration	82
3.24	Coma	82
3.25	Distortion in two form a) Barrel Shaped and b) Pin Cushion	83
3.26	Astigmatic aberration	83
3.27	Curvature of field aberration	84
3.28	Specularity error of surface	85
3.29	Slope error of surface	85
3.30	Vector representation of laws of reflection	86
3.31	The incident ray (left) and the reflected ray (right) separately	86
3.32	Radial Staggered (RS) field set up	88
3.33	Cornfield field set up	88
3.34	Sunflower design on ground, every dot represents a heliostat on ground.	89
3.35	Hyperboloid model for Beam Down model	94
3.36	Compound Parabolic Concentrator (2d)	97
3.37	Imaging Optics to form an image at the back focal plane	98
3.38	Imaging Optics	98
4.1	Shadow map on ground	102
4.2	Ray tracing for a field to generate 100 kw power	103

4.3	Flux mapping for 2703 1100 hrs and 2709 1100 hrs.	103
4.4	Flux wise efficiency vs date/time bar diagram	104
4.5	2D Wavelength vs. Irradiance level	104
4.6	Flux mapping for 2703 1100 hrs and 2709 1100 hrs.	107
4.7	Cornfield on the ground directing the solar radiation to the receiver	108
4.8	Flux mapping (left) and 3D irradiance plot 2706 1200 hrs .	108
4.9 a	RS field with Heliostat size as 4 x 4 (50 in number)	110
4.9 b	RS field with Heliostat size as 5 x 5 (40 in number)	110
4.10	Ray wise efficiency on the receiver for two mentioned field and three chosen Hours of work i.e 0900 hrs ,1200hrs,1700 hrs	110
4.11	Ray wise efficiency on the receiver for two mentioned field and three chosen Hours os work i.e 0900 hrs ,1200 hrs,1700 hrs	111
4.12	Normalized cosine efficiency for chosen months as an average of working hours	111
4.13	Shadow area on the ground for 5 x 5 (40 in number)	113
4.14	Flux map on receiver for 5 m x 5 m at 900 hrs	112
4.15	Curved receiver setup left: Pictorial, Right : Software wise	110
4.16	Curved receiver being subjected to ray tracing from all sides	111
4.17	Field layout: left: Heliostat field, Right : Ray traced	115
4.18	Normalized number of rays on the receiver obtained by ray tracing on December 27, an one hour interval for two differently sized Heliostats	118
4.19	Normalized number of rays on the receiver obtained by ray tracing for six months (randomly) averaged for three hours, with Heliostat Dimension being 2 m x 2 m and 5 m x 5 m	115
4.20	3D Flux map on the CPC from all sides.	116
4.21	Bladed receiver A,B,C mounted against a background support of D	119
4.22	Ray efficiency individual blade wise over month of study	121
4.23	2D illumination of the bladed receiver A, B, C respectively	121
4.24	Increased efficiency in case of Sunflower as compared to RS	122
4.25	Left: Sunflower geometry, Right ; Radial Staggered Geometry	122
4.26	Ray tracing with Inclined plane as secondary reflector	124
4.27	Ray tracing with Hyperboloid as secondary reflector	125
4.28	Ray wise efficiency of the beam down with hyperboloid as secondary	126
4.29	Hyperboloid credentials entered in TracePro software	127
4.30	Flux mapping for 2703 1200 hrs (left) and 2709 1200 hrs (right)	128
4.31	Ray tracing with Ellipsoid as secondary reflector	128
4.32	Ellipsoid credentials entered in TracePro software	129
4.33	Beam Down work with Hyperboloid and CPC	130
4.34	Beam Down work with Hyperboloid and CPC	130
4.35	Software dialog box for design of concerned CPC	130
4.36	Ray efficiency of the four configuration of work with target power generation.	134
5.1	A flux profile at the receiver at 1200 hour (December 27 th) using Tracepro.	140
5.2	Stagnation Temperature distribution at the receiver 900 hour and 1200 hour	140
5.3	A simplified schematic representation to calculate Planckian emission of the receiver at far field using infrared detector	141
5.4	Spectral Noise Equivalent Power of Si, Ge, GaAs, InP	143
5.5	The specific detectivity D* for InGaAs and InSb in the infrared wavelength region.	144
5.6	The specific detectivity profile take from Hamamatsu technical report [14] for two infrared detectors namely InSb (curve: P4631-03) and InGaAs (curve: G6122)	144
5.7	A stagnation temperature profile of the receiver on December 27th at midday (1200 hours)	145
5.8	Integrated intensity of Planckian	148
6.1	Duck Curve and Levelized Cost Of Electricity (LCOE)	152
6.2	LCOE vs size of heliostats on ground	152
A.1	5 x 5 Heliostats (SolarPILOT)	156
A.2	Hybrid field with mixture of 5 x 5 and 3 x 3 Heliostat	156
A.3	Optical efficiency of three software for validation of work	158

A.4	Mirror area (m ²) vs Land area (m ²) plot	159
A.5	The grid source as per design	160
A.6	TracePro dialog box : a) left : Heliostat as lens element, b) Right : Receiver	161
A.7	Correction of Fig 4.13 regarding shadow area plot of Heliostat of measurement 5 x 5 : 25 m ² and 4 x 4 : 16 m ²	169

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
1.1	A technical detail of exiting and coming up projects	27
2.1	Heliostat type of the design set in a qualitative manner	45
2.2	Heliostat type of the design set in a quantitative manner	46
2.3	Set of available software	52
4.1	Twelve cases may be considered in this regard as above	101
4.2	Average power for 100-150 kW heliostat field	105
4.3	Normalized ray efficiency factors for 100-150 kW heliostat field	106
4.4	Normalized efficiency factors 1.4 MW	107
4.5	Cosine, ray and flux wise normalized efficiency for cornfield design	109
4.6	Estimation of optical power on August 27 for 1600 hrs,1700 hrs and whole day shown in above table	113
4.7	Total Optical power estimated using ray tracing method for the heliostat dimension of 2 x 2 and 5 x 5 heliostats for Dec 27 (whole day with 1600 and 1700 hrs separately)	116
4.8	Ray and flux wise normalized efficiency on the receiver month wise on a particular day for 5 x 5 Heliostat size and x = 0.6	117
4.9	Power on the receiver month wise on a particular day for 2 x 2 Heliostat size and x = 0.2 in the receiver designate at mentioned time.	117
4.10	Analysis of Heliostatic field with receiver of x= 0.6	118
4.11	Bladed receiver ray wise efficiency and power generation capacity work as compared to flat receiver work	120
4.12	Ray wise efficiency for Beam Down with inclined plane	124
4.13	Ray and flux wise efficiency for the mentioned date and date using Ellipsoid as secondary reflector.	129
4.14	A study on difference between two beam down geometry with and without the CPC in respect to normalized ray efficiency	132
4.15	The above table presents twelve (12) configurations for four different setups.	133
4.16	Ray wise Concentration of the four configuration of work	134
4.17	Stagnant temperature calculation	134
4.18	Tradeoff between two geometric structure for Beam Down	135
4.19	Comparative study between SF and RS power generation	137
4.20	Heliostat layout and various features of design	138
5.1	Two range of D* and NEP analysis	146
5.2	Integrated power at two different infrared bandwidth regions at far-field using stagnation temperature of the receiver.	146
A.1	Comparison between the two nature of surfaces	162
A.9	National and international selected work	164
A.10	Ray tracing work performed in this thesis	166
A.11	Beam down work with Non Imaging Optics (CPC)	167
A.12	Variety of field pattern designed	167
A.13	Validation of uncertainties in efficiency:	168
	List of publications	170
	References	171 - 182

List of Symbols

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Description</i>
δ	Déclination angle
ω_1	Hour angle
ψ_1	Latitude
ψ_2	Longitude
θ_1	Elevation /Altitude angle
θ_2	Zenith angle
Φ	Azimuth angle
θ_3	Half angle spread of radiation
ω_2	Angular frequency
ζ	Circum Solar Ratio
θ_4	Radial displacement of radiation
ζ_1	Sunshape model independent of geographic
θ_5	ideal exergy efficiency
σ	Stefan Boltzmann law constant
θ_6	Angle for law of Cosine
D.M	Characteristic diameter for cornfield design
φ_1	Golden angle for spiral setup
θ_a	Acceptance Angle
S_E	Solar Constant

List of abbreviations

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Description</i>
DNI	Daily Normal Irradiance
RS	Radial Staggered
ET	Equation of time
TS	Solar Time
CSR	Circumsolar Ratio
CSP	Concentration Solar Power
HTF	Heat Transfer Fluid
IP	Inclined Plane
SR	Secondary Reflector
AE	Azimuth Elevation
SE	Spinning Elevation
NIO	Non Imaging Optics
TMY	Typical Meteorological Year
MCRT	Monte Carlo Ray Tracing
CPC	Compound Parabolic Concentrator
SS	SunShape
RAD	Radial Angular Distribution