Contents

		Page
Declaration		Iii
Certificate		V
Acknowledgements		Vii
Contents		Ix
List of Figures		Xiv
List of tables		Xvi
List of Symbols		Xvii
List of Abbreviations		Xviii
Abstract		20
Chapter 1 : Introduction		**23 – 36**
1.1	Motivation of work	23
1.2	Photovoltaics and Solar thermal	23
1.3	Solar thermal and other renewable sources of energy	24
1.4	Concentrated Solar Plants (CSP) Tower	24
1.5	Software and relevant Codes for Analysis of Concentrating Solar Power Technologies	27 27
1.6	Objective of work	30
1.7	Justification of work	30
1.8	Solar Thermal Energy generation, the Indian story	31
1.8.1	National Review	31
1.9	The research gap areas in the heliostat field design using optical ray tracing approach	32
1.9.1	General review regarding Solar Thermal Power in India	35
1.10	Outline of this thesis	36
Chapter 2 :Optics, related Thermodynamics ,Ray tracing and SunShape		37 – 54
2.1	Earth Sun Geometry 2.1.1 Declination angle (δ) 2.1.2 Equation of time (EOT) and Hour angle (ω) 2.1.3 Latitude (Ψ) and Longitude angle 2.1.4 Elevation /Altitude angle α and Azimuth angle Φ	37
2.2	Radiation from the Sun 2.2.1 Solar Constant	41 42
2.3	Ray Tracing 2.3.1 Ray Tracing by Computer 2.3.2 Eikonal equation 2.3.3 Monte Carlo Ray tracing (MC) in Tracepro	43

2. 4 Sun Shape 50
2.4.1 Brief discussion on the software and their literature performance
2.5 Exergy and its connect with temperature 52

Chapter 3 :Concentrating Solar Power (CSP) field, Losses and Mathematics involved 55 – 99
3.1 Heliostats and CSP field design 55
3.1.1 Heliostats
3.2 Beam Up technology 58
3.2.1 Line focus technology
3.2.1.1 Parabolic Trough (PT)[
3.2.1.2 Linear Fresnel Reflector (LFR
3.2.2 Point focus technology
3.2.2.1 Power Tower
3.2.2.2 Parabolic dish-Engine
3.3 Beam Down technology 62
3.3.1 Inclined Plane (IP)
3.3.2 Conic section
3.3.2.1 Hyperboloid as secondary mirror
3.3.2.2 Ellipsoid
3.3.2.3 Comparison between Hyperboloid and Ellipsoid as SR
3.4 Optical field design 67
3.5 Spatial orientation of the Heliostat field 68
3.5.1 Radial Staggered (RS)
3.5.2 Cornfield
3.5.3 Sunflower
3.6 **Receiver** 71
3.6.1 Flat receiver
3.6.2 Cavity receiver
3.6.3 Bladed receiver
3.6.4 Cylindrical Receiver
3.7 Angular orientation of the Heliostat 76
3.7.1 Azimuth - Elevation (AE) method
3.7.2 Spinning - Elevation (SE) method
3.8 Losses involved and aberrations in the Optical field 76
3.8.1 Losses related to solar field setup
3.8.1.1 Shadowing
3.8.1 .2 Blocking (η_B)
3.8.1.3 Cosine factor (η_F)
3.8.1.4 Reflectance (η_R)
3.8.1.5 Atmospheric attenuation (η_A)

3.8.1.6 Spillage

3.8.2 Losses related to solar field setup
3.8.2.1 Spherical Aberration
3.8.2.2 Coma
3.8.2.3 Distortion
3.8.2.4 Optical Astigmatism
3.8.2.5 Curvature of field

3.8.3 Losses related to Optical field setup
3.8.3.1 Slope errors
3.8.3.2Specularity errors

3.9 Mathematics involved for generation of field layout 86
3.9.1 Solar spatial coordinates
3.9.2 Solar angular coordinates
3.9.3 Heliostat spatial coordinates
3.9.3.1 Radial Staggered
3.9.3.2 Cornfield
3.9.3.3 Sunflower
3.9.4 Heliostat angular coordinates
3.9.4.1 Eulerian angles
3.9.4.2Quaternions
3.9.5 Heliostat curvature
3.9.5Conic section
3.9.5.1 Hyperboloid equation used for Beam Down geometry
3.9.5.2 Ellipsoid equation used for Beam Down geometry

3.10 Non-Imaging optics (NIO) 95
3.10.1 Advantages of NIO for solar energy concentration
3.10.2 Edge-Ray algorithm to generate a CPC
3.10.3 Invariance, Etendue and Solar Concentration
3.10.4 Geometrical Concentration Factor (GCF

Chapter 4 : Ray tracing work performed 100-138

4.1 Solar angles and Shadow map 102
4.1.1 Solar angles
4.1.2 Shadow mapping
4.1.3Typical Meteorological Year (TMY) and Daily Normal Irradiance (DNI)

4.2 Beam up 103
4.2.2.1 Generation of field of capacity of 100 Kw power
4.2.2.2 Generation of field of capacity 1.4 MW power
4.2.3 Cornfield
4.2.4 Estimation and optimization of heliostat field for 400 kW to 550 kW optical power using ray tracing method.
4.2.5 A novel uniform illumination on receivers in central tower systems using ray tracing approach
4.2.6Ray Tracing Approach for the Performance Evaluation of Bladed and Flat-Plate Receiver in Central Tower Systems

4.2.7 Sunflower geometry

4.3 **Beam Down** 123
4.3.1 Inclined plane as secondary mirror.
4.3.2 Hyperboloid as secondary mirror
4.3.3 Ellipsoid as secondary mirror
4.3.4Use of CPC together with conic geometry for Beam Down work

4.4 **Comparative study** 133
4.4.1 Study and comparison of Heliostat field design performance for beam up and beam down as mentioned accordingly
4.4.2 Comparison of optical efficiency and Stagnant temperature generation over four Heliostat sizes
4.4.3 Comparison between Hyperboloid and Ellipsoid field efficiency for the work as Beam Down was done for twelve chosen cases
4.4.4 Efficiency Comparison on the basis of Heliostats size

Chapter 5 : **Temperature profile of the receiver: Detection at far-field using infrared detectors** 139-149
5.1 Estimation of receiver temperature 147

Chapter 6 : **Conclusions and future scope of work** 150-153
6.1 Duck Curve and Levelized Cost Of Electricity (LCOE) 152

Appendix 155-169
A.1 SolarPILOT
A.1.1 Design with SolarPILOT
A.2. SolTrace
A.3 SolarPILOT and SolTrace
A.3.1 TracePro, SolarPoilor and Soltrace : A comparative study
A.4 Angles for the purpose of rays to move from one point to the other
A.5 Mirror area (m^2) vs Land area (m^2) plot
A.6 Below is the grid source setup used for field design
A.7 Relevance and importance of curvature on the Heliostat
A.8 Excel script used in the thesis work
A.9 National and international selected work
A.10 Ray tracing work performed in this thesis
A.11 Beam down work with Non Imaging Optics (CPC)
A.12 Variety of field pattern designed
A.13 Validation of uncertainties in efficiency
A.14 Correction of Fig 4.13 regarding shadow area plot of Heliostat of measurement 5 x 5 : 25 m^2 and 4 x 4 : 16 m^2

List of publications 170
References 171 - 179